

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA—HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY **DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
GOVERNOR

744 P Street • Sacramento, CA 95814 • www.cdss.ca.gov

January 11, 2013

COUNTY FISCAL LETTER (CFL) NO. 12/13-27

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

ALL COUNTY WELFARE FISCAL OFFICERS

SUBJECT: ADJUSTMENT TO COVER THE FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2011-12 NET

SHORTFALL IN FOSTER CARE (FC) ASSISTANCE AND

ADOPTIONS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (AAP)

REFERENCE: ASSEMBLY BILL (AB) 118 (CHAPTER 40, STATUTES OF 2011);

ABX1 16 (CHAPTER 13, STATUTES OF 2011, FIRST

**EXTRAORDINARY SESSION)**;

CFL NO. 12/13-16, DATED SEPTEMBER 27, 2012; CFL NO. 11/12-39, DATED FEBRUARY 17, 2012; CFL NO. 11/12-18, DATED SEPTEMBER 16, 2011

This letter is to inform the counties of a redistribution of 2011 Realignment revenues allocated to cover counties' FY 2011-12 net shortfall in funding for FC Assistance and AAP.

In concurrence with the California State Association of Counties and the County Welfare Directors Association, it was determined that for FY 2011-12 some counties did not receive sufficient 2011 Realignment revenues in their FC Assistance and/or AAP Subaccounts to cover their total program expenditures. Additionally, other counties received 2011 Realignment revenues in their FC Assistance and/or AAP Subaccounts in excess of their total program expenditures. To adjust for this shortfall, 2011 Realignment revenues will be redistributed from counties that received excess revenues for FC Assistance and/or AAP in excess of their expenditures to those counties where expenditures exceeded the revenues received.

To determine whether a county had excess revenues or a shortfall, the most current FY 2011-12 FC Assistance and AAP expenditures were compared against the FY 2011-12 Local Revenue Fund (LRF) 2011 deposits made by the State Controller's Office into the FC Assistance and AAP Subaccounts. The FC Assistance and AAP balances resulting from the comparison were added together to determine each

CFL 12/13-27 Page Two

county's net excess or shortfall. A portion of the excess revenues were redistributed to cover those counties with a shortfall. The portion from counties with excess revenues was based on each excess county's percent-to-total of the net total amount of the excess revenues applied to the net total amount of the shortfall. The attachment shows the amounts being redistributed to and from each county.

The adjustment to 2011 Realignment revenues to cover the net shortfall will occur with the January 2013 LRF distribution to counties. The adjustment will be a fixed amount for each county equal to that displayed in the attachment.

If you have any questions regarding this CFL, please direct them to <a href="mailto:CDSS2011Realignment@dss.ca.gov">CDSS2011Realignment@dss.ca.gov</a>.

Sincerely,

## Original Document Signed By:

FRAN MUELLER
Deputy Director
Administration Division

Attachment

## **2011 REALIGNMENT**

COUNTIES	SHORTFALL IN FC ASSIS	REDISTRIBUTION OF FY 2011-12 NET EXCESS & SHORTFALL IN FC ASSISTANCE & ADOPTIONS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM	
	NET EXCESS	NET SHORTFALL	
ALAMEDA	(\$230,002)	\$0	
ALPINE	(\$8,620)	\$0	
AMADOR	(\$10,961)	\$0	
BUTTE	(\$317,199)	\$0	
CALAVERAS	(\$26,587)	\$0	
COLUSA	(\$15,989)	\$0	
CONTRA COSTA	(\$10,556)	\$0	
DEL NORTE	(\$14,582)	\$0	
EL DORADO	(\$83,287)	\$0 \$0	
FRESNO GLENN	(\$181,154)	\$0 \$0	
GLENN HUMBOLDT	(\$478) \$0	\$0 \$193,088	
IMPERIAL	(\$17,134)	\$193,088	
INYO	\$0	\$28,237	
KERN	\$0	\$422,550	
KINGS	\$0	\$97,489	
LAKE	\$0	\$76,647	
LASSEN	(\$18,636)	\$0	
LOS ANGELES	(\$1,782,952)	\$0	
MADERA	(\$51,322)	\$0	
MARIN	(\$7,705)	\$0	
MARIPOSA	(\$33,143)	\$0	
MENDOCINO	\$0	\$64,237	
MERCED	(\$87,326)	\$0	
MODOC	(\$2,006)	\$0	
MONO	\$0	\$38,460	
MONTEREY	(\$61,265)	\$0	
NAPA	(\$29,928)	\$0	
NEVADA ORANGE	(\$68,499)	\$0 \$0	
PLACER	(\$701,562) \$0	\$191,232	
PLUMAS	(\$44,671)	\$191,232	
RIVERSIDE	(\$39,291)	\$0 \$0	
SACRAMENTO	(\$670,270)	\$0	
SAN BENITO	(\$28,705)	\$0	
SAN BERNARDINO	\$0	\$2,281,453	
SAN DIEGO	(\$370,231)	\$0	
SAN FRANCISCO	(\$307,185)	\$0	
SAN JOAQUIN	(\$404,481)	\$0	
SAN LUIS OBISPO	(\$51,437)	\$0	
SAN MATEO	(\$164,487)	\$0	
SANTA BARBARA	\$0	\$221,473	
SANTA CLARA	\$0	\$195,846	
SANTA CRUZ	(\$31,534)	\$0	
SHASTA	\$0	\$103,079	
SIERRA	(\$13,135)	\$0	
SISKIYOU	(\$39,109)	\$0	
SOLANO SONOMA	\$0 \$0	\$308,048	
STANISLAUS	\$0	\$284,013 \$107,883	
SUTTER	\$0	\$48,781	
TEHAMA	(\$24,350)	\$0	
TRINITY	(\$3,617)	\$0 \$0	
TULARE	\$0	\$915,348	
TUOLUMNE	(\$25,359)	\$0	
VENTURA	\$0	\$604,707	
YOLO	(\$17,301)	\$0	
YUBA	(\$186,515)	\$0	

(\$6,182,571)

\$6,182,571

TOTAL