

# **FRAUD Investigation Report**

**July – September 2006**

California Department of Social Services  
Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau  
December 2006

# Fraud Investigation Report

## Table of Contents

### July – September 2006

	<b>Page</b>
Summary .....	1
California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Statewide Highlights .....	2
Food Stamp Statewide Highlights .....	3
Welfare Fraud Special Investigative Units .....	4
<b>CalWORKs Program</b>	
Table 1 Fraud Investigations by Special Investigative Units .....	5
Table 2 Requests Accepted for Investigation by Primary Element of Fraud .....	6
Table 3 Investigation Results .....	7
Table 4 District Attorney Prosecution Activity .....	8
Table 5 Prosecution Outcomes .....	9
Table 6 Fraud Overpayments and Collections .....	10
<b>Food Stamp Program</b>	
Table 7 Fraud Investigations by Special Investigative Units .....	11
Table 8 Requests Accepted for Investigation by Primary Element of Fraud .....	12
Table 9 Investigation Results .....	13
Table 10 District Attorney Prosecution Activity .....	14
Table 11 Prosecution Outcomes .....	15
Table 12 Fraud Overissuances and Collections .....	16

# Fraud Investigation Report Summary July – September 2006

In California, fraud prevention and detection is an integral part of the overall management of public assistance programs. The fraud prevention program is administered at the local level by 58 county welfare departments. The California Department of Social Services (CDSS) is responsible for developing regulations and disseminating policy information/interpretation to local entities. Within the county welfare departments, the income and maintenance units have primary responsibility for determining eligibility and computing grant amounts. If fraud is suspected, the case is referred for investigation to the Special Investigative Unit (SIU). At the discretion of the county, the SIU may be placed in the county welfare department or within another county law enforcement agency such as the District Attorney's or Sheriff's Office. It is the responsibility of the SIU to conduct an investigation of such cases for the purpose of establishing whether or not evidence exists to support a charge of welfare fraud.

The Fraud Investigation Report provides statewide and county-specific fraud activity data on the California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) and Food Stamp programs. Investigation activity by the SIUs is reflected in this report. Data shown represents the most current statistics available at the time of publication.

The following three reports are the data sources for this publication, and are available on the CDSS Research and Data Reports (RADR) internet website at <http://www.cdss.ca.gov/research>.

- DPA 266 - Fraud Investigation Activity Report  
*July, August and September 2006*  
*NOTE: Prior data was used for El Dorado (8/06 for 9/06), Sacramento (7/06 for 8/06 and 9/06), Shasta (8/06 for 9/06), Siskiyou (7/06 for 8/06 and 9/06) as that data was not yet available.*
- CA 237 CW - CalWORKs Cash Grant Caseload Movement Report  
*July, August and September 2006*
- DFA 256 - Food Stamp Program Participation and Benefit Issuance Report  
*July, August and September 2006*

Unless otherwise stated, each data item in the publication is a quarterly rollup of three months of data from the DPA 266 – Fraud Investigation Activity Report.

## CalWORKs Statewide Highlights

### July – September 2006

#### Investigation Requests

- **35,003** requests for investigation were **received** by California Special Investigative Units (SIUs) during the quarter, with a monthly average during the quarter of **11,668** investigation requests. The monthly average of investigation requests represents **2.49%** of the **468,005** CalWORKs statewide caseload (monthly average during **July – September 2006**).
- **33,987** investigation requests were **accepted** for investigation during the quarter. This is **97%** of the **35,003** investigation requests received.
  - ▶ **23,621 (69%)** were Early Fraud Prevention/Detection Program requests.
  - ▶ **5,377 (16%)** were investigation requests for other reasons including other unreported income, homeless assistance, unreported resources/assets, duplicate/replaced warrants, duplicate aid, forgery, residence, fictitious identity, internal affairs, child care, drug felon, fleeing felon, probation/parole violators, and other miscellaneous elements of fraud.
  - ▶ **3,452 (10%)** were family (household) composition requests.
  - ▶ **1,537 (5%)** were Income and Eligibility Verification System (IEVS) requests.
- **89,755** investigations were **available** during the quarter.
  - ▶ **55,768 (62%)** of the available investigations were **pending** at the beginning of the quarter.
  - ▶ **33,987 (38%)** of the available investigations were **accepted** for investigation during the quarter.

#### Investigations Completed and the Results

- **33,887** investigations were **completed** during the quarter. This is **38%** of the **89,755** total investigations available.
  - ▶ **11,160 (33%)** of the completed cases had evidence sufficient to support an allegation of fraud.
  - ▶ **22,727 (67%)** of the completed cases did not have evidence sufficient to support an allegation of fraud.
- Of the **33,887** completed investigations, the **results** included:
  - ▶ **6,206 (18%)** of the cases were denied, reduced or discontinued due to the Early Fraud Prevention/Detection Program.
  - ▶ **2,066 (6%)** of the cases were reduced or discontinued in non-Early Fraud cases.
  - ▶ **1,750 (5%)** of the cases had fraud found, but with no adverse financial impact.
  - ▶ **1,395 (4%)** of the cases had restitution action taken.
  - ▶ **518 (2%)** of the cases were referred for prosecution.
  - ▶ **46 (.14%)** of the cases had expiration of statutes.
  - ▶ **41 (.12%)** of the cases were referred to an Administrative Disqualification Hearing.
  - ▶ Note: Completed investigations could have multiple results. Completed investigations with allegation unfounded, insufficient evidence, and other miscellaneous results included in the **33,887** are not shown in this report.

#### Prosecution Activity

- **2,792** referrals were **available** to the District Attorney for prosecution during the quarter.
  - ▶ **2,170 (78%)** were referrals **pending** at the beginning of the quarter.
  - ▶ **622 (22%)** were referrals **received** during the quarter.
- There were **2,792** referrals available to the District Attorney for prosecution consideration during the quarter.
  - ▶ **500 prosecutions** were filed. This is **18%** of the available prosecution referrals.
- There were **500** prosecutions filed by the District Attorney during the quarter.
  - ▶ **499 convictions** were obtained. Some convictions obtained were from prosecutions filed in prior quarters.

#### Overpayments and Collections

- **\$3,480,958 fraud overpayments** were identified during the quarter.
- **\$4,107,455 collections** were made during the quarter. Some collections obtained were from overpayments identified in prior quarters.

## Food Stamp Statewide Highlights July – September 2006

### Investigation Requests

- **51,652** requests for investigation were **received** by California Special Investigative Units (SIUs) during the quarter, with a monthly average during the quarter of **17,217** investigation requests. The monthly average of investigation requests represents **2.13%** of the **807,873** Food Stamp statewide caseload (monthly average during **July – September 2006**).
- **50,104** investigation requests were **accepted** for investigation during the quarter. This is **97%** of the **51,652** investigation requests received.
  - ▶ **31,636 (63%)** were Early Fraud Prevention/Detection Program requests.
  - ▶ **10,495 (21%)** were investigation requests for other reasons including other unreported income, unreported resources/assets, duplicate/replaced Food Stamps, duplicate aid, forgery, residence, fictitious identity, Food Stamp trafficking, internal affairs, child care, drug felon, fleeing felon, probation/parole violators, and other miscellaneous elements of fraud.
  - ▶ **4,707 (9%)** were family (household) composition requests.
  - ▶ **3,266 (7%)** were Income and Eligibility Verification System (IEVS) requests.
- **156,498** investigations were **available** during the quarter.
  - ▶ **106,394 (68%)** of the available investigations were **pending** at the beginning of the quarter.
  - ▶ **50,104 (32%)** of the available investigations were **accepted** for investigation during the quarter.

### Investigations Completed and the Results

- **53,549** investigations were **completed** during the quarter. This is **34%** of the **156,498** total investigations available.
  - ▶ **16,256 (30%)** of the completed cases had evidence sufficient to support an allegation of fraud.
  - ▶ **37,293 (70%)** of the completed cases did not have evidence sufficient to support an allegation of fraud.
- Of the **53,549** completed investigations, the **results** included:
  - ▶ **8,318 (16%)** of the cases were denied, reduced or discontinued due to the Early Fraud Prevention/Detection Program.
  - ▶ **3,244 (6%)** of the cases were reduced or discontinued in non-Early Fraud cases.
  - ▶ **2,437 (5%)** of the cases had restitution action taken.
  - ▶ **2,373 (4%)** of the cases had fraud found, but with no adverse financial impact.
  - ▶ **651 (1%)** of the cases were referred for prosecution.
  - ▶ **59 (.11%)** of the cases were referred to an Administrative Disqualification Hearing.
  - ▶ **50 (.09%)** of the cases had expiration of statutes.
  - ▶ Note: Completed investigations could have multiple results. Completed investigations with allegation unfounded, insufficient evidence, and other miscellaneous results included in the **53,549** are not shown in this report.

### Prosecution Activity

- **3,709** referrals were **available** to the District Attorney for prosecution during the quarter.
  - ▶ **2,894 (78%)** were referrals **pending** at the beginning of the quarter.
  - ▶ **815 (22%)** were referrals **received** during the quarter.
- There were **3,709** referrals available to the District Attorney for prosecution consideration during the quarter.
  - ▶ **602 prosecutions** were filed. This is **16%** of the available prosecution referrals.
- There were **602** prosecutions filed by the District Attorney during the quarter.
  - ▶ **521 convictions** were obtained. Some convictions obtained were from prosecutions filed in prior quarters.

### Overissuances and Collections

- **\$2,544,842 fraud overissuances** were identified during the quarter.
- **\$1,954,153 collections** were made during the quarter. Some collections obtained were from overissuances identified in prior quarters.

**Welfare Fraud Special Investigative Units****July - September 2006**

Below is a listing of the locations of each county's Welfare Fraud Special Investigative Unit(s).

County	County Welfare Department	District Attorney's Office	County Welfare Department and District Attorney's Office	Sheriff's Office
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>
Alameda			✓	
Alpine	✓			
Amador			✓	
Butte		✓		
Calaveras			✓	
Colusa		✓		
Contra Costa			✓	
Del Norte		✓		
El Dorado	✓			
Fresno		✓		
Glenn	✓			
Humboldt	✓			
Imperial		✓		
Inyo		✓		
Kern		✓		
Kings	✓			
Lake				✓
Lassen				✓
Los Angeles			✓	
Madera		✓		
Marin	✓			
Mariposa	✓			
Mendocino	✓			
Merced		✓		
Modoc		✓		
Mono		✓		
Monterey			✓	
Napa	✓			
Nevada	✓			
Orange		✓		
Placer	✓			
Plumas	✓			
Riverside	✓			
Sacramento	✓			
San Benito	✓			
San Bernardino	✓			
San Diego		✓		
San Francisco			✓	
San Joaquin		✓		
San Luis Obispo	✓			
San Mateo	✓			
Santa Barbara		✓		
Santa Clara		✓		
Santa Cruz	✓			
Shasta		✓		
Sierra	✓			
Siskiyou		✓		
Solano	✓			
Sonoma	✓			
Stanislaus	✓			
Sutter			✓	
Tehama		✓		
Trinity	✓			
Tulare		✓		
Tuolumne	✓			
Ventura		✓		
Yolo			✓	
Yuba	✓			

**CaIWORKs**

**Tables**

**TABLE 1**  
**California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Program**  
**FRAUD INVESTIGATIONS BY SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE UNITS**  
**July - September 2006**

County	CalWORKs Caseload <sup>a/</sup>	Investigation Requests		Investigations Completed	Evidence to Support an Allegation of Fraud		Investigations Pending End of Quarter <sup>b/</sup>
		Received	Accepted		Sufficient	Not Sufficient	
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>468,005</b>	<b>35,003</b>	<b>33,987</b>	<b>33,887</b>	<b>11,160</b>	<b>22,727</b>	<b>56,048</b>
Alameda	19,605	618	618	442	240	202	1,295
Alpine	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	267	29	29	29	6	23	62
Butte	3,751	400	388	309	103	206	455
Calaveras	395	187	187	187	63	124	0
Colusa	187	9	9	14	4	10	4
Contra Costa	8,996	251	223	201	132	69	92
Del Norte	882	26	26	4	2	2	65
El Dorado	1,012	38	38	43	27	16	427
Fresno	23,892	156	156	116	80	36	1,366
Glenn	475	200	200	180	61	119	232
Humboldt	1,856	208	208	186	43	143	156
Imperial	3,838	109	109	90	45	45	679
Inyo	152	4	4	0	0	0	70
Kern	16,950	941	931	872	471	401	635
Kings	2,581	612	612	594	193	401	286
Lake	1,100	58	58	57	31	26	89
Lassen	511	49	49	47	10	37	12
Los Angeles	152,073	5,124	4,669	7,128	1,912	5,216	31,426
Madera	2,852	411	411	388	50	338	93
Marin	978	47	47	44	17	27	413
Mariposa	171	10	10	2	2	0	43
Mendocino	1,452	83	81	62	31	31	503
Merced	7,141	242	234	259	127	132	505
Modoc	173	4	4	2	2	0	4
Mono	27	0	0	0	0	0	3
Monterey	4,089	467	452	217	47	170	401
Napa	563	37	37	47	16	31	183
Nevada	502	11	5	4	2	2	71
Orange	16,059	2,023	2,021	1,919	1,158	761	729
Placer	1,545	34	34	13	7	6	186
Plumas	146	14	14	16	14	2	3
Riverside	19,991	6,745	6,719	6,622	406	6,216	1,480
Sacramento	28,685	2,244	2,175	2,022	1,071	951	804
San Benito	668	21	21	23	15	8	23
San Bernardino	31,054	3,712	3,712	2,665	1,222	1,443	1,725
San Diego	23,333	4,327	4,218	3,710	989	2,721	1,528
San Francisco	4,941	98	98	310	309	1	2,475
San Joaquin	14,168	167	166	191	99	92	124
San Luis Obispo	1,669	124	124	65	26	39	185
San Mateo	2,324	276	276	7	7	0	1,746
Santa Barbara	4,036	113	113	141	75	66	115
Santa Clara	14,777	386	347	292	146	146	292
Santa Cruz	2,048	374	369	529	102	427	58
Shasta	3,152	442	256	211	127	84	198
Sierra	36	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	813	225	225	141	111	30	125
Solano	5,088	618	613	568	193	375	896
Sonoma	2,807	176	176	108	49	59	257
Stanislaus	9,290	910	888	1,226	667	559	1,546
Sutter	1,246	169	169	158	82	76	58
Tehama	1,179	136	136	105	18	87	60
Trinity	207	20	20	18	0	18	47
Tulare	11,954	196	196	128	29	99	829
Tuolumne	550	50	34	44	25	19	14
Ventura	6,229	245	245	324	110	214	299
Yolo	1,840	109	109	98	40	58	636
Yuba	1,694	718	718	709	346	363	40

a/ Effective with the January 2003 report month, the source form (CA 237 CW) was changed to collect families data from Two Parent Families, Zero Parent Families, All Other Families, TANF Timed-Out Cases and Safety Net Cases. The data is a monthly average for the quarter. NOTE: County numbers may not add to Statewide total due to rounding. Prior data was used for Contra Costa County (7/06 for 8/06 and 9/06).

b/ Data is from DPA 266 September 2006 Item 7 Investigations pending at end of month. The investigations pending numbers include county case adjustments that occurred during the quarter. NOTE: The statewide total of Investigations pending at the *beginning* of the quarter, from DPA 266 July 2006 Item 4 was 55,768.



**TABLE 2**  
**California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Program**  
**REQUESTS ACCEPTED FOR INVESTIGATION BY PRIMARY ELEMENT OF FRAUD**  
**July - September 2006**

County	Early Fraud Prevention/Detection	Income and Eligibility Verification System	Family (Household) Composition	Other Unreported Income	Residence	Unreported Resources/Assets	Other <sup>a/</sup>
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>23,621</b>	<b>1,537</b>	<b>3,452</b>	<b>1,022</b>	<b>1,008</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>3,004</b>
Alameda	423	115	24	12	10	4	30
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	0	8	3	6	1	11
Butte	67	4	111	29	17	6	154
Calaveras	158	1	6	3	7	1	11
Colusa	0	0	3	3	2	0	1
Contra Costa	160	41	4	17	0	0	1
Del Norte	0	2	14	5	1	0	4
El Dorado	10	5	4	3	5	0	11
Fresno	25	6	80	34	9	0	2
Glenn	80	5	29	24	19	2	41
Humboldt	26	0	33	21	2	103	23
Imperial	20	0	25	11	48	1	4
Inyo	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
Kern	313	45	283	94	88	21	87
Kings	465	0	80	25	23	2	17
Lake	5	0	29	7	5	0	12
Lassen	35	0	9	0	1	2	2
Los Angeles	3,328	211	90	26	0	14	1,000
Madera	359	5	24	12	3	0	8
Marin	7	18	6	1	2	1	12
Mariposa	0	0	5	1	1	1	2
Mendocino	6	23	29	12	4	4	3
Merced	54	15	45	27	16	1	76
Modoc	0	0	0	2	2	0	0
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	143	45	32	12	86	10	124
Napa	3	0	11	8	2	1	12
Nevada	2	0	3	0	0	0	0
Orange	1,831	3	31	50	6	8	92
Placer	0	0	15	2	9	3	5
Plumas	8	0	5	0	1	0	0
Riverside	6,099	58	287	73	18	43	141
Sacramento	1,404	534	93	21	42	0	81
San Benito	0	3	5	7	0	0	6
San Bernardino	1,755	44	1,027	139	239	5	503
San Diego	4,111	13	23	10	5	1	55
San Francisco	91	0	0	0	0	0	7
San Joaquin	100	0	33	4	5	4	20
San Luis Obispo	22	2	43	12	4	2	39
San Mateo	140	0	73	35	10	1	17
Santa Barbara	35	6	32	6	5	1	28
Santa Clara	227	36	57	14	6	2	5
Santa Cruz	334	1	9	12	0	0	13
Shasta	160	0	54	20	5	6	11
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	63	0	45	9	3	81	24
Solano	387	75	48	30	47	0	26
Sonoma	18	74	45	14	0	0	25
Stanislaus	209	100	299	34	178	6	62
Sutter	111	0	18	29	8	1	2
Tehama	35	1	42	13	6	0	39
Trinity	2	0	7	0	4	1	6
Tulare	0	10	109	45	12	0	20
Tuolumne	17	0	7	0	0	0	10
Ventura	189	24	6	5	0	0	21
Yolo	36	8	25	10	2	1	27
Yuba	545	3	27	36	34	2	71

a/ "Other" includes homeless assistance, duplicate warrants, duplicate (multiple) aid, forgery, fictitious identity, internal affairs, child care, drug felon, fleeing felon, probation/parole violators, and other miscellaneous elements of fraud.

**TABLE 3**  
**California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Program**  
**INVESTIGATION RESULTS<sup>a/</sup>**  
**July - September 2006**

County	Early Fraud (Denials/Benefits Reduced/ Discontinuances)	Referred for		Restitution Action	Benefits Reduced or Discontinued (Non-Early Fraud)	Fraud Found, No Adverse Financial Impact	Expiration of Statutes
		Prosecution	Administrative Disqualification Hearing				
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>6,206</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>1,395</b>	<b>2,066</b>	<b>1,750</b>	<b>46</b>
Alameda	206	9	2	13	0	10	1
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	1	0	0	7	10	0
Butte	74	6	0	6	24	10	4
Calaveras	49	0	0	3	10	1	0
Colusa	0	1	0	0	2	1	0
Contra Costa	20	3	0	22	0	0	0
Del Norte	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Dorado	0	2	0	5	15	10	0
Fresno	11	29	22	1	17	0	5
Glenn	10	1	0	0	22	28	0
Humboldt	23	0	0	2	12	8	0
Imperial	8	0	0	3	13	2	0
Inyo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kern	161	36	0	78	167	29	0
Kings	106	21	0	5	35	26	4
Lake	8	0	0	1	13	9	0
Lassen	5	4	0	0	6	1	0
Los Angeles	1,000	114	0	539	11	664	0
Madera	9	2	0	4	34	1	0
Marin	4	0	0	5	3	5	0
Mariposa	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Mendocino	3	1	0	20	1	6	12
Merced	32	6	2	1	78	8	0
Modoc	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	63	15	0	1	23	2	0
Napa	2	1	0	4	5	5	0
Nevada	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	721	23	0	60	326	145	2
Placer	2	0	0	1	3	1	0
Plumas	4	0	0	0	6	4	0
Riverside	234	45	0	107	3	17	0
Sacramento	837	18	0	69	141	6	6
San Benito	1	0	0	5	8	1	0
San Bernardino	597	22	0	61	534	195	0
San Diego	835	12	0	26	0	128	0
San Francisco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Joaquin	51	13	0	0	19	16	0
San Luis Obispo	11	0	0	6	14	6	0
San Mateo	88	6	0	36	42	14	0
Santa Barbara	10	15	0	31	26	12	0
Santa Clara	84	16	0	13	6	27	4
Santa Cruz	79	6	0	7	10	0	0
Shasta	61	8	0	5	18	33	8
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	24	0	0	15	42	30	0
Solano	115	1	0	6	42	24	0
Sonoma	4	1	0	34	22	34	0
Stanislaus	167	30	15	166	262	171	0
Sutter	42	4	0	8	11	18	0
Tehama	9	6	0	0	4	2	0
Trinity	3	0	0	0	1	0	0
Tulare	0	8	0	1	10	10	0
Tuolumne	9	5	0	8	1	2	0
Ventura	98	12	0	0	0	0	0
Yolo	23	10	0	3	0	4	0
Yuba	299	5	0	14	15	13	0

a/ This table reflects the outcome of an investigation. In some instances an investigation may have more than one outcome reported. Therefore, the data displayed on this table may not correspond to the numbers on Table 1.

**TABLE 4**  
**California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Program**  
**DISTRICT ATTORNEY PROSECUTION ACTIVITY**  
**July - September 2006**

County	Referrals Received	Referrals Available <sup>a/</sup>	Prosecutions Filed	Decision Made Not to Prosecute
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>2,792</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>97</b>
Alameda	9	422	5	1
Alpine	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	3	0	0
Butte	8	17	0	0
Calaveras	0	0	0	0
Colusa	2	2	1	0
Contra Costa	2	16	1	0
Del Norte	0	0	0	0
El Dorado	0	10	0	0
Fresno	29	134	17	0
Glenn	1	1	0	0
Humboldt	0	2	0	0
Imperial	0	47	0	0
Inyo	0	0	0	0
Kern	35	80	29	3
Kings	21	24	3	0
Lake	0	11	3	1
Lassen	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	114	458	102	8
Madera	2	2	2	0
Marin	0	4	1	0
Mariposa	0	0	0	0
Mendocino	1	6	0	0
Merced	6	50	1	0
Modoc	0	0	0	0
Mono	0	0	0	0
Monterey	15	66	10	1
Napa	1	11	0	0
Nevada	0	0	0	0
Orange	22	66	19	4
Placer	0	43	0	0
Plumas	0	1	0	0
Riverside	33	44	26	0
Sacramento	18	414	24	0
San Benito	0	6	0	0
San Bernardino	22	24	12	3
San Diego	12	20	17	3
San Francisco	0	97	97	0
San Joaquin	13	107	17	0
San Luis Obispo	124	250	0	65
San Mateo	6	6	6	0
Santa Barbara	15	15	8	7
Santa Clara	16	34	9	1
Santa Cruz	6	7	7	0
Shasta	8	11	9	0
Sierra	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	9	15	0	0
Solano	1	146	0	0
Sonoma	1	8	5	0
Stanislaus	30	31	31	0
Sutter	2	2	2	0
Tehama	0	0	0	0
Trinity	0	0	0	0
Tulare	8	8	8	0
Tuolumne	5	10	3	0
Ventura	12	38	11	0
Yolo	10	10	10	0
Yuba	3	13	4	0

<sup>a/</sup> Data is from DPA 266 July 2006 Item 23 Referrals pending beginning of month plus July, August and September 2006's Item 24 Referrals received during the month.

**TABLE 5**  
**California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Program**  
**PROSECUTION OUTCOMES<sup>a/</sup>**  
**July - September 2006**

County	Convictions	Administrative Action	Disqualification Consent Agreements	Charge Pleaded	Dismissals	Acquittals	Declinations	Expiration of Statutes
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>499</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>0</b>
Alameda	15	0	0	0	26	0	1	0
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Butte	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calaveras	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colusa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Del Norte	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Dorado	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresno	49	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Glenn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Imperial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inyo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kern	21	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Kings	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0
Lassen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	143	0	0	0	16	0	0	0
Madera	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
Marin	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mariposa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mendocino	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Merced	64	0	0	0	34	0	55	0
Modoc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	0	0	0	10	24	0	0	0
Napa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nevada	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	14	3	0	11	1	0	0	0
Placer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Plumas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Riverside	33	0	0	33	2	0	0	0
Sacramento	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Benito	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino	10	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
San Diego	48	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
San Francisco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Joaquin	21	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0
San Mateo	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Barbara	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Clara	16	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Santa Cruz	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shasta	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Solano	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sonoma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stanislaus	13	0	0	17	25	0	0	0
Sutter	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Tehama	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trinity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tulare	6	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
Tuolumne	4	0	0	0	1	0	3	0
Ventura	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Yolo	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yuba	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	0

a/ This table reflects the outcome of a prosecution. In some instances a prosecution may have more than one outcome reported.

**TABLE 6**  
**California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Program**  
**FRAUD OVERPAYMENTS AND COLLECTIONS**  
**July - September 2006**

<b>County</b>	<b>Fraud Overpayments Identified</b>	<b>Total Collections</b>
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>\$3,480,958</b>	<b>\$4,107,455</b>
Alameda	106,188	263,348
Alpine	0	0
Amador	232	0
Butte	13,704	18,008
Calaveras	6,216	1,602
Colusa	0	350
Contra Costa	41,161	48,063
Del Norte	7,971	4,477
El Dorado	18,052	30,771
Fresno	139,292	158,505
Glenn	9,980	4,092
Humboldt	0	4,814
Imperial	0	0
Inyo	0	7,590
Kern	199,103	107,003
Kings	20,212	45,400
Lake	3,342	1,509
Lassen	12,331	1,546
Los Angeles	1,214,928	1,685,196
Madera	6,909	10,806
Marin	4,554	12,633
Mariposa	245	688
Mendocino	19,482	16,419
Merced	41,262	31,182
Modoc	0	0
Mono	0	0
Monterey	23,307	45,752
Napa	3,863	5,361
Nevada	0	2,127
Orange	102,022	123,636
Placer	1,290	15,970
Plumas	359	1,847
Riverside	170,588	417,132
Sacramento	218,001	118,041
San Benito	8,734	25,348
San Bernardino	145,997	71,702
San Diego	89,848	53,382
San Francisco	108,829	62,342
San Joaquin	161,327	45,000
San Luis Obispo	5,180	5,045
San Mateo	99,673	10,740
Santa Barbara	84,736	36,256
Santa Clara	84,565	179,071
Santa Cruz	37,548	2,056
Shasta	30,710	11,676
Sierra	0	318
Siskiyou	6,300	6,411
Solano	8,031	106,485
Sonoma	43,128	41,904
Stanislaus	79,066	134,916
Sutter	3,860	20,750
Tehama	0	1,370
Trinity	0	2,660
Tulare	55,255	10,845
Tuolumne	9,663	5,021
Ventura	2,892	41,245
Yolo	16,283	41,338
Yuba	14,739	7,705

**Food Stamp**

**Tables**

**TABLE 7**  
**Food Stamp Program**  
**FRAUD INVESTIGATIONS BY SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE UNITS**  
**July - September 2006**

County	Participating Households <sup>a/</sup>	Investigation Requests		Investigations Completed	Evidence to Support an Allegation of Fraud		Investigations Pending End of Quarter <sup>b/</sup>
		Received	Accepted		Sufficient	Not Sufficient	
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>807,873</b>	<b>51,652</b>	<b>50,104</b>	<b>53,549</b>	<b>16,256</b>	<b>37,293</b>	<b>104,049</b>
Alameda	32,726	1,250	1,250	1,058	486	572	1,368
Alpine	37	4	2	0	0	0	2
Amador	525	47	47	56	11	45	68
Butte	7,426	472	459	356	130	226	514
Calaveras	783	486	486	486	143	343	0
Colusa	452	14	14	17	4	13	7
Contra Costa	8,739	342	308	278	169	109	271
Del Norte	1,754	52	52	7	3	4	116
El Dorado	1,936	62	62	98	48	50	388
Fresno	41,100	181	181	144	105	39	1,200
Glenn	830	344	344	296	104	192	414
Humboldt	5,013	269	269	247	75	172	176
Imperial	6,169	110	110	90	45	45	744
Inyo	454	4	4	0	0	0	81
Kern	27,888	1,419	1,407	1,280	824	456	808
Kings	5,375	816	816	793	247	546	357
Lake	2,237	117	117	115	60	55	132
Lassen	825	132	132	122	20	102	30
Los Angeles	275,821	9,933	9,142	14,150	2,968	11,182	66,922
Madera	5,390	628	628	626	80	546	203
Marin	2,537	101	101	105	37	68	991
Mariposa	371	19	19	6	4	2	63
Mendocino	3,591	203	199	109	41	68	1,493
Merced	10,694	406	397	423	228	195	725
Modoc	296	17	17	5	3	2	25
Mono	109	0	0	0	0	0	2
Monterey	6,947	668	651	371	73	298	501
Napa	1,180	86	86	92	36	56	291
Nevada	1,100	20	13	12	10	2	89
Orange	30,872	2,799	2,797	2,682	1,529	1,153	935
Placer	2,628	36	36	14	8	6	214
Plumas	252	35	35	37	24	13	13
Riverside	28,728	11,581	11,549	11,311	728	10,583	2,557
Sacramento	43,702	1,761	1,698	2,055	1,122	933	2,101
San Benito	1,244	34	34	35	23	12	38
San Bernardino	47,708	3,240	3,240	3,226	1,407	1,819	899
San Diego	31,246	4,015	3,895	3,417	950	2,467	1,639
San Francisco	19,860	225	225	689	687	2	5,525
San Joaquin	19,940	189	188	210	105	105	138
San Luis Obispo	3,357	191	191	124	63	61	225
San Mateo	3,714	519	519	10	10	0	4,245
Santa Barbara	7,707	280	280	316	147	169	115
Santa Clara	25,752	895	856	790	298	492	452
Santa Cruz	4,964	1,019	1,014	1,035	185	850	60
Shasta	5,803	801	459	358	198	160	277
Sierra	74	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	1,644	720	720	471	315	156	181
Solano	8,228	743	736	665	259	406	1,263
Sonoma	6,414	480	480	402	174	228	690
Stanislaus	15,307	1,394	1,368	1,905	1,106	799	2,337
Sutter	2,242	317	317	303	148	155	80
Tehama	2,084	134	134	105	18	87	56
Trinity	428	41	41	41	0	41	88
Tulare	22,065	205	205	131	30	101	568
Tuolumne	1,246	88	66	82	54	28	27
Ventura	11,707	257	257	346	115	231	342
Yolo	3,597	175	175	182	65	117	949
Yuba	3,054	1,276	1,276	1,265	534	731	54

<sup>a/</sup> Data is the monthly average of the Federal and State Public Assistance and Nonassistance Food Stamp participating households from the DFA 256 report. NOTE: County numbers may not add to Statewide total due to rounding. Prior data used for Fresno (6/06 used for 7/06, 8/06, 9/06), Orange (2/06 used for 7/06, 8/06, 9/06); San Diego (6/06 used for 7/06, 8/06, 9/06), and Santa Cruz (8/06 used for 7/06).

<sup>b/</sup> Data is from DPA 266 September 2006 Item 7 Investigations pending at the end of the month. The investigations pending numbers include county case adjustments that occurred during the quarter. NOTE: The statewide total of Investigations pending at the beginning of the quarter from DPA 266 July 2006 Item 4 was 106,394.

**TABLE 8**  
**Food Stamp Program**  
**REQUESTS ACCEPTED FOR INVESTIGATION BY PRIMARY ELEMENT OF FRAUD**  
**July - September 2006**

County	Early Fraud Prevention/ Detection	Income and Eligibility Verification System	Family (Household) Composition	Other Unreported Income	Residence	Unreported Resources/ Assets	Other <sup>a/</sup>
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>31,636</b>	<b>3,266</b>	<b>4,707</b>	<b>1,543</b>	<b>1,514</b>	<b>626</b>	<b>6,812</b>
Alameda	478	117	24	13	12	5	601
Alpine	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	0	8	6	6	3	24
Butte	85	4	116	46	19	8	181
Calaveras	440	2	11	5	8	9	11
Colusa	0	0	5	4	2	0	3
Contra Costa	198	75	10	21	1	1	2
Del Norte	6	7	15	11	2	1	10
El Dorado	16	13	6	3	9	0	15
Fresno	30	9	94	35	12	0	1
Glenn	141	15	35	46	35	3	69
Humboldt	27	0	46	24	2	112	58
Imperial	20	0	25	11	48	1	5
Inyo	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
Kern	555	83	349	131	139	32	118
Kings	640	0	87	33	32	2	22
Lake	15	2	45	9	13	1	32
Lassen	117	0	10	0	1	2	2
Los Angeles	5,053	1,185	132	252	0	14	2,506
Madera	546	18	30	17	4	0	13
Marin	11	27	7	2	5	1	48
Mariposa	0	0	9	3	3	1	3
Mendocino	9	70	83	15	4	4	14
Merced	84	21	55	34	27	2	174
Modoc	0	2	5	4	4	1	1
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	160	63	41	12	103	7	265
Napa	9	0	15	10	4	3	45
Nevada	6	0	3	1	3	0	0
Orange	2,561	8	35	52	9	10	122
Placer	0	0	15	3	9	3	6
Plumas	27	0	7	0	1	0	0
Riverside	10,418	101	641	85	20	40	244
Sacramento	789	672	93	21	42	0	81
San Benito	0	5	6	7	0	4	12
San Bernardino	1	58	1,428	203	330	5	1,215
San Diego	3,825	20	27	9	7	1	6
San Francisco	171	0	0	0	0	0	54
San Joaquin	108	0	36	6	7	5	26
San Luis Obispo	33	5	48	22	26	3	54
San Mateo	264	0	93	45	42	1	74
Santa Barbara	170	10	39	11	7	1	42
Santa Clara	727	38	59	17	5	2	8
Santa Cruz	945	7	13	7	0	0	42
Shasta	310	0	88	25	8	10	18
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	291	0	63	15	21	315	15
Solano	422	104	49	34	85	1	41
Sonoma	20	237	47	14	0	0	162
Stanislaus	276	217	405	49	278	7	136
Sutter	229	0	20	46	16	2	4
Tehama	35	1	42	13	6	0	37
Trinity	9	0	9	0	9	1	13
Tulare	0	11	110	48	12	0	24
Tuolumne	34	0	8	1	1	0	22
Ventura	188	36	4	5	0	0	24
Yolo	90	9	29	13	3	1	30
Yuba	1,044	11	27	44	72	1	77

a/ "Other" includes replaced lost Food Stamps, duplicate (multiple) aid, forgery, fictitious identity, Food Stamp trafficking, internal affairs, child care, drug felon, fleeing felon, probation/parole violators, and other miscellaneous elements of fraud.



**TABLE 9**  
**Food Stamp Program**  
**INVESTIGATION RESULTS<sup>a/</sup>**  
**July - September 2006**

County	Early Fraud (Denials/Benefits Reduced/ Discontinuances)	Referred for		Restitution Action	Benefits Reduced or Discontinued (Non-Early Fraud)	Fraud Found, No Adverse Financial Impact	Expiration of Statutes
		Prosecution	Administrative Disqualification Hearing				
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>8,318</b>	<b>651</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>2,437</b>	<b>3,244</b>	<b>2,373</b>	<b>50</b>
Alameda	465	11	2	4	0	7	4
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	2	0	0	12	24	0
Butte	113	7	0	2	27	11	4
Calaveras	127	0	0	3	11	2	0
Colusa	0	1	0	0	2	1	0
Contra Costa	26	3	0	35	0	0	0
Del Norte	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Dorado	0	2	0	5	24	21	0
Fresno	15	36	27	2	26	0	2
Glenn	20	1	0	0	36	47	0
Humboldt	31	0	0	10	31	12	0
Imperial	8	0	0	3	13	2	0
Inyo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kern	392	44	0	127	231	37	0
Kings	138	25	0	10	46	28	5
Lake	21	0	0	8	22	11	0
Lassen	22	4	0	0	8	1	0
Los Angeles	1,562	153	0	856	37	972	0
Madera	9	2	0	14	53	2	0
Marin	9	0	0	7	10	11	0
Mariposa	2	0	0	0	1	1	0
Mendocino	4	1	0	25	0	11	12
Merced	43	13	5	4	160	5	0
Modoc	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	117	16	0	3	38	2	0
Napa	10	1	0	7	8	11	0
Nevada	7	0	0	0	3	0	0
Orange	949	29	0	105	437	115	2
Placer	2	0	0	1	4	1	0
Plumas	10	0	0	1	6	7	0
Riverside	485	52	0	168	7	16	0
Sacramento	786	21	0	129	180	6	6
San Benito	4	0	0	8	11	2	0
San Bernardino	406	29	0	134	826	31	0
San Diego	783	13	0	39	0	128	0
San Francisco	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
San Joaquin	55	12	0	0	20	18	0
San Luis Obispo	22	4	0	19	39	8	0
San Mateo	103	8	0	64	71	23	0
Santa Barbara	47	21	0	55	43	16	0
Santa Clara	138	16	0	18	7	119	4
Santa Cruz	146	5	0	9	25	0	0
Shasta	103	16	0	5	25	47	9
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	87	0	0	12	57	162	0
Solano	133	1	0	10	78	26	2
Sonoma	4	1	0	75	29	121	0
Stanislaus	214	31	25	401	493	241	0
Sutter	85	6	0	13	15	30	0
Tehama	9	6	0	0	4	2	0
Trinity	6	0	0	0	4	0	0
Tulare	0	9	0	1	10	10	0
Tuolumne	11	12	0	21	5	5	0
Ventura	101	14	0	0	0	0	0
Yolo	38	16	0	6	0	5	0
Yuba	449	7	0	17	46	15	0

a/ This table reflects the outcome of an investigation. In some instances an investigation may have more than one outcome reported. Therefore, the data displayed on this table may not correspond to the numbers on Table 7.

**TABLE 10**  
**Food Stamp Program**  
**DISTRICT ATTORNEY PROSECUTION ACTIVITY**  
**July - September 2006**

County	Referrals Received	Referrals Available <sup>a/</sup>	Prosecutions Filed	Decision Made Not to Prosecute
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>815</b>	<b>3,709</b>	<b>602</b>	<b>169</b>
Alameda	11	326	7	1
Alpine	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	5	0	0
Butte	8	18	0	0
Calaveras	0	0	0	0
Colusa	2	2	1	0
Contra Costa	2	13	1	0
Del Norte	0	0	0	0
El Dorado	0	10	0	0
Fresno	36	96	19	0
Glenn	1	1	0	0
Humboldt	0	2	0	0
Imperial	0	48	0	0
Inyo	0	0	0	0
Kern	43	96	35	4
Kings	25	29	2	1
Lake	0	14	3	0
Lassen	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	153	1,230	98	8
Madera	2	2	2	0
Marin	0	5	1	0
Mariposa	0	0	0	0
Mendocino	1	6	0	0
Merced	13	68	1	1
Modoc	0	0	0	0
Mono	0	0	0	0
Monterey	16	72	12	1
Napa	1	13	0	0
Nevada	0	1	0	0
Orange	30	71	23	4
Placer	0	52	0	0
Plumas	0	2	0	0
Riverside	42	58	35	0
Sacramento	21	330	33	0
San Benito	0	10	0	0
San Bernardino	29	31	15	3
San Diego	13	73	16	8
San Francisco	0	143	143	0
San Joaquin	12	141	15	0
San Luis Obispo	192	350	4	121
San Mateo	8	10	8	0
Santa Barbara	21	21	11	10
Santa Clara	16	41	11	1
Santa Cruz	3	3	3	0
Shasta	16	23	17	4
Sierra	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	9	15	0	0
Solano	1	142	0	0
Sonoma	1	8	5	0
Stanislaus	31	32	31	1
Sutter	2	2	2	0
Tehama	0	0	0	0
Trinity	0	0	0	0
Tulare	9	9	9	0
Tuolumne	12	20	4	0
Ventura	14	34	15	1
Yolo	16	16	16	0
Yuba	3	15	4	0

<sup>a/</sup> Data is from DPA 266 July 2006 Item 23 Referrals pending beginning of month plus July, August and September 2006's Item 24 Referrals received during the month.

**TABLE 11**  
**Food Stamp Program**  
**PROSECUTION OUTCOMES<sup>a/</sup>**  
**July - September 2006**

County	Convictions	Administrative Action	Disqualification Consent Agreements	Charge Pleaded	Dismissals	Acquittals	Declinations	Expiration of Statutes
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>521</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>0</b>
Alameda	17	0	0	0	26	0	1	0
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Butte	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calaveras	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colusa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Del Norte	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Dorado	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresno	55	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Glenn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	3	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
Imperial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inyo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kern	22	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Kings	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0
Lassen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	135	0	0	0	17	0	0	0
Madera	1	0	6	0	1	0	0	0
Marin	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mariposa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mendocino	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Merced	81	0	0	0	45	0	73	0
Modoc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	0	0	1	8	24	0	0	0
Napa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nevada	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	15	2	0	13	0	0	0	0
Placer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Plumas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Riverside	42	0	0	42	2	0	0	0
Sacramento	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Benito	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino	12	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
San Diego	37	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
San Francisco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Joaquin	17	1	0	1	2	0	2	0
San Luis Obispo	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0
San Mateo	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Barbara	2	0	10	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Clara	18	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Santa Cruz	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shasta	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Solano	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sonoma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stanislaus	9	0	0	8	9	0	0	0
Sutter	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Tehama	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trinity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tulare	6	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
Tuolumne	4	0	0	0	1	0	8	0
Ventura	5	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Yolo	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yuba	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0

a/ This table reflects the outcome of a prosecution. In some instances a prosecution may have more than one outcome reported.

**TABLE 12**  
**Food Stamp Program**  
**FRAUD OVERISSUANCES AND COLLECTIONS**  
**July - September 2006**

<b>County</b>	<b>Fraud Overissuances Identified</b>	<b>Total Collections</b>
<b>Statewide</b>	<b>\$2,544,842</b>	<b>\$1,954,153</b>
Alameda	59,495	54,798
Alpine	0	0
Amador	177	2,071
Butte	26,279	12,860
Calaveras	5,350	754
Colusa	0	1,176
Contra Costa	27,391	13,255
Del Norte	8,540	5,909
El Dorado	15,066	15,662
Fresno	120,380	132,891
Glenn	10,431	4,389
Humboldt	0	4,918
Imperial	0	0
Inyo	0	0
Kern	184,933	76,069
Kings	16,036	43,542
Lake	698	1,903
Lassen	3,710	397
Los Angeles	497,873	197,784
Madera	15,002	11,711
Marin	2,972	12,172
Mariposa	6,448	876
Mendocino	23,727	13,706
Merced	26,697	45,151
Modoc	0	0
Mono	0	0
Monterey	27,881	23,512
Napa	4,949	11,637
Nevada	0	1,058
Orange	67,304	70,659
Placer	66	7,454
Plumas	511	1,107
Riverside	189,059	493,965
Sacramento	200,496	31,269
San Benito	8,847	6,598
San Bernardino	147,674	50,422
San Diego	60,457	11,507
San Francisco	127,909	68,159
San Joaquin	41,443	21,099
San Luis Obispo	12,040	1,362
San Mateo	76,873	5,551
Santa Barbara	53,495	73,800
Santa Clara	103,785	102,668
Santa Cruz	28,824	1,335
Shasta	34,895	8,949
Sierra	1,139	151
Siskiyou	5,100	4,011
Solano	7,132	54,796
Sonoma	48,492	18,427
Stanislaus	130,845	144,805
Sutter	7,798	5,116
Tehama	0	839
Trinity	0	623
Tulare	35,938	27,031
Tuolumne	23,752	7,096
Ventura	24,999	3,697
Yolo	10,147	39,175
Yuba	11,787	4,281



**CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**  
Cliff Allenby, Interim Director