FRAUD Investigation Report

July – September 2003

California Department of Social Services
Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau
March 2004

Fraud Investigation Report Table of Contents July – September 2003

Pa	age
/	1
a Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) e Highlights	2
mp Statewide Highlights	3
Fraud Special Investigative Units	4
Ks Program	
Fraud Investigations by Special Investigative Units	6 7 8
amp Program	
Prosecution Outcomes	12 13 14 15
	work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) e Highlights

Fraud Investigation Report Summary July – September 2003

In California, fraud prevention and detection is an integral part of the overall management of public assistance programs. The fraud prevention program is administered at the local level by 58 county welfare departments. The California Department of Social Services (CDSS) is responsible for developing regulations and disseminating policy information/interpretation to local entities. Within the county welfare departments, the income and maintenance units have primary responsibility for determining eligibility and computing grant amounts. If fraud is suspected, the case is referred for investigation to the Special Investigative Unit (SIU). At the discretion of the county, the SIU may be placed in the county welfare department or within another county law enforcement agency such as the District Attorney's or Sheriff's Office. It is the responsibility of the SIU to conduct an investigation of such cases for the purpose of establishing whether or not evidence exists to support a charge of welfare fraud.

The Fraud Investigation Report provides statewide and county-specific fraud activity data on the California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) and Food Stamp programs. Investigation activity by the SIUs is reflected in this report. Data shown represents the most current statistics available at the time of publication.

The following three reports are the data sources for this publication, and are available on the CDSS Research and Development Division (RADD) internet web site at http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research.

- DPA 266 Fraud Investigation Activity Report
 - July 2003 (Version 1, dated September 30, 2003)
 - August 2003 (Version 2, dated January 6, 2004)
 - September 2003 (Version 2, dated January 6, 2004)
- CA 237 CW CalWORKs Cash Grant Caseload Movement Report
 - July 2003 (Version 1, dated October 31, 2003)
 - August 2003 (Version 1, dated November 26, 2003)
 - September 2003 (Version 1, dated December 9, 2003)
- DFA 256 Food Stamp Program Participation and Coupon Issuance Report
 - July 2003 (Version 2, dated December 1, 2003)
 - August 2003 (Version 2, dated November 25, 2003)
 - September 2003 (Version 1, dated November 25, 2003)

Unless otherwise stated, each data item in the publication is a quarterly rollup of three months of data from the DPA 266 – Fraud Investigation Activity Report.

CalWORKs Statewide Highlights July – September 2003

Investigation Requests

- 40,763 requests for investigation were received by California Special Investigative Units (SIUs) during the quarter, with a monthly average during the quarter of 13,588 investigation requests. The monthly average of investigation requests represents 2.8% of the 493,551 CalWORKs statewide caseload (monthly average during July September 2003).
- 39,270 investigation requests were accepted for investigation during the quarter. This is 96% of the 40,763 investigation requests received.
 - > 24,631 (62%) were Early Fraud Prevention/Detection Program (EFP/D) requests.
 - ▶ 5,855 (15%) were investigation requests for other reasons including other unreported income, homeless assistance, unreported resources/assets, duplicate/replaced warrants, duplicate aid, forgery, residence, fictitious identity, internal affairs, child care, drug felon, fleeing felon, probation/parole violators, and other miscellaneous elements of fraud.
 - ▶ 5,354 (14%) were Income and Eligibility Verification System (IEVS) requests.
 - ▶ 3,430 (9%) were family (household) composition requests.
 - 108,125 investigations were available during the guarter.
 - ▶ 68,855 (64%) of the available investigations were **pending** at the beginning of the quarter.
 - > 39,270 (36%) of the available investigations were accepted for investigation during the quarter.

Investigations Completed and the Results

- 42,085 investigations were completed during the quarter. This is 39% of the 108,125 total investigations available.
 - ▶ 15,522 (37%) of the completed cases had evidence sufficient to support an allegation of fraud.
 - ▶ 26,563 (63%) of the completed cases did not have evidence sufficient to support an allegation of fraud.
- Of the 42,085 completed investigations, the results included:
 - 7,343 (17%) of the cases were denied, reduced or discontinued due to the Early Fraud Prevention/Detection Program.
 - 3,866 (9%) of the cases had restitution action taken.
 - 2,291 (5%) of the cases had fraud found, but with no adverse financial impact.
 - 1,835 (4%) of the cases were reduced or discontinued in non-Early Fraud cases.
 - ▶ 910 (2%) of the cases were referred for prosecution.
 - > 777 (2%) of the cases had expiration of statutes.
 - ▶ 191 (.45%) of the cases were referred to an Administrative Disqualification Hearing.

Note: Completed investigations could have multiple results. Completed investigations with allegation unfounded, insufficient evidence, and other miscellaneous results included in the 42,085 are not shown in this report.

Prosecution Activity

- 4,577 referrals were available to the District Attorney for prosecution during the quarter.
 - > 3,692 (81%) were referrals **pending** at the beginning of the quarter.
 - ▶ 885 (19%) were referrals **received** during the quarter.
- Of the 4,577 referrals available to the District Attorney for prosecution consideration during the quarter,
 - 834 prosecutions were filed. This is 18% of the available prosecution referrals.
- Of the 834 prosecutions filed by the District Attorney during the quarter,
 - 919 convictions were obtained. Some convictions obtained are from prosecutions filed in prior quarters.

Overpayments and Collections

- \$7,868,701 **fraud overpayments** were identified during the quarter.
- \$5,903,236 **collections** were made during the quarter.

Food Stamp Statewide Highlights July – September 2003

Investigation Requests

- 59,618 requests for investigation were received by California Special Investigative Units (SIUs) during the quarter, with a monthly average during the quarter of 19,873 investigation requests. The monthly average of investigation requests represents 3% of the 679,956 Food Stamp statewide caseload (monthly average during July September 2003).
- 57,486 investigation requests were accepted for investigation during the quarter. This is 96% of the 59,618 investigation requests received.
 - ▶ 34,722 (60%) were Early Fraud Prevention/Detection Program (EFP/D) requests.
 - 9,482 (16%) were investigation requests for other reasons including other unreported income, unreported resources/assets, duplicate/replaced Food Stamps, duplicate aid, forgery, residence, fictitious identity, Food Stamp trafficking, internal affairs, child care, drug felon, fleeing felon, probation/parole violators, and other miscellaneous elements of fraud.
 - 9,484 (16%) were Income and Eligibility Verification System (IEVS) requests.
 - ▶ 3,798 (6%) were family (household) composition requests.
- 151,071 investigations were available during the quarter.
 - 93,585 (62%) of the available investigations were **pending** at the beginning of the quarter.
 - ▶ 57,486 (38%) of the available investigations were **accepted** for investigation during the quarter.

Investigations Completed and the Results

- 58,038 investigations were **completed** during the quarter. This is 38% of the 151,071 total investigations available.
 - > 20,746 (36%) of the completed cases had evidence sufficient to support an allegation of fraud.
 - 37,292 (64%) of the completed cases did not have evidence sufficient to support an allegation of fraud.
- Of the 58,038 completed investigations, the results included:
 - ▶ 10,349 (18%) of the cases were denied, reduced or discontinued due to the Early Fraud Prevention/Detection Program.
 - ▶ 4,720 (8%) of the cases had restitution action taken.
 - 2,659 (5%) of the cases had fraud found, but with no adverse financial impact.
 - > 2,642 (5%) of the cases were reduced or discontinued in non-Early Fraud cases.
 - ▶ 1,040 (2%) of the cases were referred for prosecution.
 - ▶ 551 (1%) of the cases had expiration of statutes.
 - 222 (.38%) of the cases were referred to an Administrative Disqualification Hearing.

Note: Completed investigations could have multiple results. Completed investigations with allegation unfounded, insufficient evidence, and other miscellaneous results included in the 58,038 are not shown in this report.

Prosecution Activity

- 5,206 referrals were available to the District Attorney for prosecution during the quarter.
 - ▶ 4,190 (80%) were referrals **pending** at the beginning of the quarter.
 - ▶ 1,016 (20%) were referrals **received** during the quarter.
- Of the 5,206 referrals available to the District Attorney for prosecution consideration during the quarter,
 - ▶ 872 **prosecutions** were filed. This is 17% of the available prosecution referrals.
- Of the 872 prosecutions filed by the District Attorney during the quarter,
 - 998 convictions were obtained. Some convictions obtained are from prosecutions filed in prior quarters.

Overissuances and Collections

- **\$4,777,095 fraud overissuances** were identified during the quarter.
- \$2,052,805 **collections** were made during the quarter.

Welfare Fraud Special Investigative Units

July - September 2003

Below is a listing of the locations of each county's Welfare Fraud Special Investigative Unit(s).

County	County Welfare Department	District Attorney's Office	County Welfare Department and District Attorney's Office	Sheriff's Office
Statewide	26	22	8	2
Alameda			∀	
Alpine	—		•	
Amador	·		∀	
Butte		✓	•	
Calaveras		·	✓	
Colusa		✓	•	
Contra Costa		·	∀	
Del Norte		✓	•	-
El Dorado	→	·		-
Fresno	† · ·	✓		1
Glenn	✓	•		1
Humboldt	, v			+
Imperial	 	✓		-
Inyo		Ž		+
Kern				_
Kings	-	✓		+
Lake	 			+ ,,
	+			Y
Lassen	1			~
Los Angeles		,	✓	
Madera		✓		
Marin	✓			
Mariposa	✓			
Mendocino	✓			
Merced		✓		
Modoc		✓		
Mono		✓		
Monterey			✓	
Napa	✓			
Nevada	~			
Orange		✓		
Placer	→			
Plumas	→			
Riverside	✓			
Sacramento	✓			
San Benito	✓			
San Bernardino	· ·			
San Diego	·	✓		-
San Francisco	1	Ž		1
San Joaquin		, and the second		1
San Luis Obispo	✓	*		1
San Mateo	<u> </u>			1
Santa Barbara	▼	✓		+
Santa Clara	1	<u> </u>		-
Santa Cruz		*		+
Shasta	~	.4		-
Sierra	1 .4	✓		+
Siskiyou	✓	. 4		+
		✓		1
Solano	V			_
Sonoma	V			
Stanislaus	✓			_
Sutter	1		✓	
Tehama	1	✓		
Trinity	✓			
Tulare		✓		
Tuolumne	✓			
Ventura		✓		
Yolo			✓	
Yuba	✓			
•				

CalWORKs Tables

TABLE 1 California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Program FRAUD INVESTIGATIONS BY SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE UNITS July – September 2003

	CalWORKs	Investigation	_	ptember 2003	Evidence to Support an		Investigations
County		investigation	i Requests	Investigations	Allegation of Fraud		Pending End of
	Caseload ^{a/}	Received	Accepted	Completed	Sufficient	Not Sufficient	Quarter ^{b/}
Statewide	493,551	40,763	39,270	42,085	15,522	26,563	65,909
Alameda	17,774	978	972	1,413	835	578	822
Alpine	21	0	0	0	0	0	
Amador	259	64	64	47	5	42	77
Butte	4,150	442	439	433	163	270	626
Calaveras	381	193	193	193	56	137	3
Colusa	187	17	17	17	8	9	
Contra Costa	8,226	416	408	427	225	202	432
Del Norte	797	21	21	37	7	30	
El Dorado	988	81	81	63	26	37	407
Fresno	22,774	1,102	1,072	885	426	459	818
Glenn	474	179	179	172	43	129	160
Humboldt	1,950	87	87	130	56	74	70
Imperial	3,969	165	165	166	137	29	
Inyo	119	16	16	2	1	1	39
Kern	16,787	1,978	1,891	1,858	964	894	
Kings	2,466	693	693	801	159	642	
Lake	1,395	132	132	114	64	50	
Lassen	484	165	165	144	46	98	
Los Angeles	173,778	8,113	7,200	7,417	2,694	4,723	
Madera	3,192	448	448	655	61	594	
Marin	846	36	36	51	43	8	
Mariposa	190	12	12	9	3	6	
Mendocino	1,511	310	310	161	93	68	
Merced	5,950	512	508	691	295	396	
Modoc	189	11	11	22	4	18	
Mono	50	0	0	0	0	0	
Monterey	4,491	247	239	433	71	362	
Napa	439	23	23	12	4	8	
Nevada	556	33	28	20	9	11	
Orange	18,799	2,595	2,588	2,699	1,482	1,217	2,970
Placer	1,311	61	61	51	20	31	153
Plumas	144	23	23	24	18	6	
Riverside	20,500	5,693	5,678	5,634	966	4,668	
Sacramento	28,811	1,775	1,646	2,389	1,182	1,207	
San Benito	648	29	29	37	20	1,207	
San Bernardino	35,886	3,411	3,409	4,178	921	3,257	19
San Diego	25,366	4,391	4,190	4,011	1,290	2,721	
San Francisco	6,340	332	332	4,011	381	2,721	
San Joaquin	13,090	179	179	143	95	48	
San Luis Obispo	1,656	138	138	143	83	59	
San Mateo	2,358	142	142	169	60	109	
	3,937	177	176	176	85	91	
Santa Barbara Santa Clara	13,931	584	552	558	270	288	432
Santa Ciara Santa Cruz	1,788	438	438	438	67	371	
Shasta	3,176	281	281	293	217	76	
Sierra	29	8	8	293 7	217	5	
Siskiyou	793	297	297	252	145	107	
Solano	4,244	869	855	942	384	558	
Sonoma	2,617	122	119	279	117	162	
Stanislaus	8,537	542	536	624	423	201	
Sutter	1,081	277	277	276	130	146	
	1,061	95	95	93	130	79	
Tehama Tripity		38	36		14	42	37
Trinity	185	38		43	1		3/
Tulare	12,071	230	224	354	35	319	
Tuolumne	648	210	199	162	83	79	
Ventura	5,864	367	367	351	141	210	
Yolo	2,236	196	196	166	87	79	
Yuba	1,909	789	789	816	305	511	69

a/ Effective with the January 2003 report month, the source form (CA 237 CW) was changed to collect families data from Two Parent Families, Zero Parent Families, All Other Families, TANF Timed-Out Cases and Safety Net Cases. The data is a monthly average for the quarter. NOTE: County numbers do not add to Statewide total due to rounding.

b/ Data is from DPA 266 September 2003 Item 7 Investigations pending at end of month. The investigations pending numbers include county case adjustments that occurred during the quarter. NOTE: The statewide total of Investigations pending at the *beginning* of the quarter, from DPA 266 July 2003 Item 4, was 68,855.

TABLE 2
California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Program
REQUESTS ACCEPTED FOR INVESTIGATION BY PRIMARY ELEMENT OF FRAUD
July – September 2003

County	Early Fraud Prevention/ Detection 24,631	Income and Eligibility Verification System 5,354	Family (Household) Composition	Other Unreported Income	Residence	Unreported Resources/ Assets	Other ^{a/} 3,335
Alameda	563	261	78	24	5	320	39
Alpine	0	0	70	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	8	8	3	1	0	44
Butte	17	20	160	27	28	7	180
Calaveras	135	6	15	2	9	9	17
Colusa	0	2	5	4	0	<u> </u>	5
Contra Costa	233	105	10	10	0	0	50
Del Norte	233	0	10	2	0	1	6
El Dorado	9	8	19	15	7	<u>'</u>	22
Fresno	214	455	209	154	14	<u>'</u>	22 25
Glenn	92			154	28	2	23
		3	20				23 16
Humboldt	35	2	29	4	0	1	
Imperial	91	0	35	10	23	2	4
Inyo	040	4	270	10	0	0 12	0
Kern	943 600	37	276	124	20		479
Kings		5	45	18	11	0	14
Lake	2	17	67	16	10	0	20
Lassen	144	0.050	11	0	7	2	1
Los Angeles	4,112	2,658	125	56	0	29	220
Madera	394	12	17	14	3	1	/
Marin	3	8	5	9	0	1	10
Mariposa	4	1	3	0	2	0	2
Mendocino	/	31	21	5	1	6	239
Merced	229	76	107	51	9	1	35
Modoc	8	0	1	0	1	0	1
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	149	3	26	6	2	6	47
Napa	0	0	15	4	0	1	3
Nevada	6	0	10	3	4	2	3
Orange	2,323	113	35	39	0	1	77
Placer	6	0	30	11	8	1	5
Plumas	9	3	6	3	1	0	1
Riverside	5,041	203	167	69	13	12	173
Sacramento	1,019	216	101	45	49	4	212
San Benito	0	3	5	7	0	0	14
San Bernardino	1,380	36	829	158	315	115	576
San Diego	3,741	232	71	53	26	5	62
San Francisco	72	182	1	0	0	0	77
San Joaquin	118	33	13	5	1	6	3
San Luis Obispo	42	4	40	9	17	2	24
San Mateo	39	3	25	38	5	0	32
Santa Barbara	47	15	55		12	3	29
Santa Clara	398	37	76	37	0	2	2
Santa Cruz	164	240		7	3	8	10
Shasta	122	9		18	10	7	26
Sierra	0	0	4	1	3	0	0
Siskiyou	116	0	60	17	32	42	30
Solano	622	102	70	48	12	0	1
Sonoma	41	38	23	11	0	0	6
Stanislaus	124	96		31	43	6	68
Sutter	198	2	24	22	3	1	27
Tehama	17	0	28	14	5	1	30
Trinity	14	5	4	4	3	4	2
Tulare	0	29	62	66	9	6	52
Tuolumne	143	5		17	1	0	8
Ventura	322	0	3	23	0	1	18
Yolo	79	15	29	24	18	4	27
Yuba	441	11		25	27	1	231

a/ "Other" includes homeless assistance, duplicate warrants, duplicate (multiple) aid, forgery, fictitious identity, internal affairs, child care, drug felon, fleeing felon, probation/parole violators, and other miscellaneous elements of fraud.

TABLE 3

California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Program

INVESTIGATION RESULTS^{a/}

July – September 2003

	Early Fraud	Refe	erred for		Benefits	Fraud Found,	
Country	(Denials/Benefits		Administrative	Restitution	Reduced or	No Adverse	Expiration
County	Reduced/	Prosecution	Disqualification	Action	Discontinued	Financial	of Statutes
	Discontinuances)		Hearing		(Non-Early Fraud)	Impact	
Statewide	7,343	910	191	3,866	1,835	2,291	777
Alameda	326	86	13	305	41	64	88
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
Butte	60	21	0	18	57	7	4
Calaveras	35	0	0	0	16	4	0
Colusa	2	0	0	0	6	0	0
Contra Costa	70	6	2	60	0	87	0
Del Norte	0	0	0	3	3	1	0
El Dorado	0	1	0	3	3	25	0
Fresno	61	64	117	98	63	23	59
Glenn	17	0	0	7	17	2	0
Humboldt	20	8	0	16	27	5	0
Imperial	26	6	0	3	8	12	0
Inyo	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Kern	556	43	1	122	165	74	71
Kings	132	6	0	8	9	4	0
Lake	5	11	0	10	20	12	1
Lassen	43	0	0	0	3	0	0
Los Angeles	1,442	216	0	918	18	786	0
Madera	2	16	0	10	24	9	0
Marin	3	0	0	20	13	7	1
Mariposa	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
Mendocino	3	0	0	62	4	24	1
Merced	23	50	0	46	109	20	0
Modoc	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	83	7	0	1	5	47	183
Napa	1	0	0	0	2	2	0
Nevada	4	0	0	0	4	1	0
Orange	808	32	12	178	437	233	3
Placer Plumas	2	6 0	0	<u>4</u>	<u>8</u>	0 8	0
Riverside	758	30		162	0	2	0
	402	13	0	649	111	7	271
Sacramento San Benito	402	0	0	4	15	0	0
San Bernardino	364	40	1	136	180	204	0
San Diego	911	54	33	300	8	79	0
San Francisco	0	5	0	97	38	0	1
San Joaquin	50	29	0	0	1	19	1
San Luis Obispo	18	3	0	3	49	3	3
San Mateo	24	2	0	16	13	5	0
Santa Barbara	15	2 5	0	29	13 32	16	ŏ
Santa Clara	182	34	0	20	0		
Santa Cruz	36	5	0	19	7	0	
Shasta	59	10	0	14	28	106	Ő
Sierra	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Siskiyou	16	0	0	25	34	70	0
Solano	198	17	0	125	3	41	43
Sonoma	21	2	1	96	39	87	4
Stanislaus	58	15	9	200	151	41	1
Sutter	67	4	0	21	11	29	
Tehama	5	3	2	0	3	1	Ö
Trinity	1	0	0	0	0		0
Tulare	0	15	0	3	0	17	31
Tuolumne	48	1	0	6	5	24	0
Ventura	135	6	0	0	0	0	
Yolo	20		0	13	14	27	Ō
Yuba	222	12	0	34	17	20	

a/ This table reflects the outcome of an investigation. In some instances an investigation may have more than one outcome reported. Therefore, the data displayed on this table may not correspond to the numbers on Table 1.

TABLE 4
California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Program
DISTRICT ATTORNEY PROSECUTION ACTIVITY
July – September 2003

County	Referrals Received	Referrals Available ^{a/}	Prosecutions Filed	Decision Made Not to Prosecute
Statewide	885	4,577	834	154
Alameda	86	578	27	20
Alpine	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	3	0	0
Butte	10	17	9	0
Calaveras	0	0	0	0
Colusa	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa	5	77	3	0
Del Norte	0	7	0	0
El Dorado	1	1	0	0
Fresno	64	136	65	1
Glenn	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	9	13	10	0
Imperial	3	40	2	0
Inyo	1	1	0	0
Kern	43	135	57	3
Kings	6	7	2	0
Lake	11	32	8	1
Lassen	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	216	398	181	52
Madera	16	16	16	0
Marin	0	10	0	0
Mariposa	0	0	0	0
Mendocino	0	13	0	0
Merced	50	708	32	0
Modoc	0			0
Mono	0	0	0	
	7	<u>0</u> 49	0 4	0
Monterey				2
Napa	0	27	0	0
Nevada	0	0	0	0
Orange	34	79	32	1
Placer	6	63	9	2
Plumas	0	8	0	0
Riverside	31	44	34	1
Sacramento	13	419	11	6
San Benito	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino	40	474	23	0
San Diego	53	425	66	0
San Francisco	5	95	0	1
San Joaquin	29	133	35	0
San Luis Obispo	0	0	0	0
San Mateo	1	69	62	0
Santa Barbara	5	5	4	1
Santa Clara	34	66	26	
Santa Cruz	5	5	5	0
Shasta	10	16	9	0
Sierra	0	1	1	0
Siskiyou	0	4	0	0
Solano	17	234	32	54
Sonoma	2	9	0	0
Stanislaus	15	15	15	0
Sutter	4	4	3	1
Tehama	0	0	0	Ö
Trinity	1	2	2	0
Tulare	15		14	1
Tuolumne	1	17	1	0
Ventura	6	46	3	6
Yolo	25	25	25	0
Yuba	5	45	6	

a/ Data is from DPA 266 July 2003 Item 23 Referrals pending beginning of month plus July, August and September 2003's Item 24 Referrals received during the month.

TABLE 5 California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Program PROSECUTION OUTCOMES^{a/} July – September 2003

County	Convictions	Administrative Action	Disqualification Consent Agreements	Charge Pleaded	Dismissals	Acquittals	Declinations	Expiration of Statutes
Statewide	919	9	25	135	452	12	91	0
Alameda	148	0	0	0	298	0	20	0
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Butte	3	0	5	0	1	0	0	
Calaveras	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Colusa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Contra Costa	1	0	1	5	0	0	0	
Del Norte	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
El Dorado	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Fresno	58	1	0	0	2	0	1	0
Glenn	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	
Humboldt	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	
Imperial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Inyo	80	0	0			0	1	0
Kern		0	0	0	6			
Kings	14		0	0	0	0	0	
Lake	0	0			0	0	0	
Lassen	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Los Angeles	165	0	0	0	23	0	0	
Madera	17	6	3	0	0	0	0	
Marin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mariposa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mendocino	13	0	0	13	12	0	0	
Merced	20	0	0	0	4	0	5	0
Modoc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Monterey	0	0	0	3	14	0	2	0
Napa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nevada	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Orange	31	1	0	0	12	12	0	0
Placer	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
Plumas	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Riverside	60	0	0	87	0	0	0	
Sacramento	53	0	0	0	0	0	4	
San Benito	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino	38	0	0	0	7	0	0	
San Diego	47	0	0	0	4	Ö	0	
San Francisco	3	0	0	0	8	0	1	0
San Joaquin	34	0	0	0	11	0	3	
San Luis Obispo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
San Mateo	1	0	0	0	43	0	0	
Santa Barbara	+	0	1	0	0	0		
Santa Clara	4	0	0	0		0	0	0
Santa Cruz	1	0	0	0			0	
Shasta	15	0	1	0		0	0	0
Sierra	0	0	0	1				
						0	0	0
Siskiyou	0	0	0	0				0
Solano	11	0	0	0		0	54	0
Sonoma	5	0	0	0		0	0	0
Stanislaus	15	0	0	0				0
Sutter	2	0	1	1			0	
Tehama	0	0	0	0		0		0
Trinity	0	0	0	0				0
Tulare	32	0	0	19			0	0
Tuolumne	2	0	0	0		0		0
Ventura	8	0	0	0			0	
Yolo	17	0	0	0			0	0
Yuba	1	0	7	5	0		0	

a/ This table reflects the outcome of a prosecution. In some instances a prosecution may have more than one outcome reported.

TABLE 6
California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) Program
FRAUD OVERPAYMENTS AND COLLECTIONS
July – September 2003

County	Fraud Overpayments Identified	Total Collections
Statewide	\$7,868,701	\$5,903,236
Alameda	1,661,345	383,854
Alpine	,,551,510	0
Amador	2,922	703
Butte	121,549	10,573
Calaveras	0	1,080
Colusa	0	109
Contra Costa	185,170	56,046
Del Norte	8,745	6,210
El Dorado	4,710	33,392
Fresno	176,229	238,209
Glenn	6,219	10,081
Humboldt	10,354	10,316
Imperial	3,734	631
Inyo	0	577
Kern	174,978	92,339
Kings	53,644	68,924
Lake	32,243	16,933
Lassen	52,243	1,229
Los Angeles	1,571,911	1,516,226
Madera	28,222	23,974
Marin		
	28,750	35,058
Mariposa	1,092	267
Mendocino	90,829	31,859
Merced	65,564	19,371
Modoc	0	0
Mono	0	0
Monterey	32,869	69,388
Napa	0	1,985
Nevada	0	3,191
Orange	240,377	188,790
Placer	16,638	32,039
Plumas	373	3,150
Riverside	198,329	200,959
Sacramento	1,178,424	579,595
San Benito	8,110	24,891
San Bernardino	290,564	196,600
San Diego	402,126	480,517
San Francisco	102,056	177,905
San Joaquin	82,618	46,334
San Luis Obispo	8,336	15,479
San Mateo	14,116	4,742
Santa Barbara	15,158	43,843
Santa Clara	230,180	481,215
Santa Cruz	35,193	33,168
Shasta	31,344	15,370
Sierra	0	661
Siskiyou	67,000	88,000
Solano	182,333	105,163
Sonoma	74,685	97,188
Stanislaus	216,143	125,555
Sutter	19,765	5.399
Tehama	0	5,399 1,370
Trinity	0	240
Tulare	109,260	0
Tuolumne	8,372	4,750
Ventura	3,245	253,489
Yolo	34,009	39,962
Yuba	38,868	24,337
~-	55,000	27,007

Food Stamp

Tables

TABLE 7 Food Stamp Program FRAUD INVESTIGATIONS BY SPECIAL INVESTIGATIVE UNITS July – September 2003

			July – S	eptember 2003			_
County	Participating	Investigati	on Requests	Investigations	Evidence to Support an Allegation of Fraud		Investigations Pending End
•	Households ^{a/}	Received	Accepted	Completed	Sufficient	Not Sufficient	of Quarter ^{b/}
Statewide	679,956		57,486	58,038	20,746	37,292	93,884
Alameda	23,144	1,577	1,571	1,780	1,008	772	1,206
Alpine	30		0	0	0	0	0
Amador	415	83	83	57	8	49	98
Butte	5,889	396	393	417	186	231	581
Calaveras	630	427	427	427	110	317	10
Colusa	370	20	20	21	10	11	36
Contra Costa	0	551	541	550	307	243	485
Del Norte	1,254	28	28	43	11	32	75
El Dorado	1,617	95	95	85	27	58	408
Fresno	31,276	1,157	1,119	925	469	456	433
Glenn	720	367	367	358	82	276	421
Humboldt	3,790	119	118	161	68	93	83
Imperial	5,423	186	186	181	149	32	506
Inyo	375	18	18	7	3	4	53
Kern	22,046	2,787	2,694	2,650	1,104	1,546	2,200
Kings	4,092	926	926	1,021	203	818	753
Lake	1,847	151	151	141	80	61	142
Lassen	678	213	213	185	64	121	38
Los Angeles	268,754	13,749	12,224	10,816	3,629	7,187	56,009
Madera	4,743	652	652	923	78	845	172
Marin	0	72	72	78	62	16	1,099
Mariposa	275	17	17	13	5	8	40
Mendocino	2,860	366	366	195	99	96	457
Merced	8,307	736	731	1,021	420	601	620
Modoc	193	132	132	183	27	156	213
Mono	118	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	5,608	324	316	457	83	374	621
Napa	651	50	50	34	12	22	213
Nevada	842	47	40	31	20	11	117
Orange	24,190	2,939	2,933	3,088	1,892	1,196	2,425
Placer	1,780	73	73	62	21	41	173
Plumas	208	31	31	31	23	8	11
Riverside	22,893	10,598	10,577	10,515	1,872	8,643	1,437
Sacramento	35,621	1,649	1,537	1,641	1,027	614	4,125
San Benito	923	15 4,389	15 4,386	5,399	20 1,219	<u>2</u> 4,180	22 357
San Bernardino	44,249						
San Diego	28,240	4,235	4,037	3,838	1,393	2,445	4,108
San Francisco	18,623	1,203	1,203	1,271	947	324	4,140
San Joaquin	15,901	186	186	152	103	49 68	431
San Luis Obispo San Mateo	2,491 3,162	169 224	169 224	177 223	109 87	136	284 40
	5,801	275	275	275	129	146	
Santa Barbara					385	451	
Santa Clara Santa Cruz	19,847 3,557	856	821	836 1,169		1,013	574 104
	4,245	1,170 416	1,170 416	442	156 325	1,013	911
Shasta Sierra	4,245		9	12	7	5	5
	1,359		674	573	304	269	
Siskiyou Solano	1,359		1,153	1,259	451	808	
Sonoma	4,554		1,153	292	142	150	
Stanislaus	11,428		650	758	516	242	782 1,357
Sutter	1,575		410	417	220	197	1,337
Tehama	1,942		95	93	14	79	44
Trinity	299		56	70	14	69	
Tulare	16,790		309	452	43	409	1,541
Tuolumne	896		347	287	154	133	
Ventura	8,801		547 542	523	207	316	496
Yolo	2,078		309	261	124	137	496
Yuba	2,515		1,150	1,140	531	609	61

a/ Data is the monthly average of the Federal and State Public Assistance and Nonassistance Food Stamp participating households from the DFA 256 report. NOTE: County numbers do not add to Statewide total due to rounding.

b/ Data is from DPA 266 September 2003 Item 7 Investigations pending at the end of the month. The investigations pending numbers include county case adjustments that occurred during the quarter. NOTE: The statewide total of Investigations pending at the beginning of the quarter from DPA 266 July 2003 Item 4 was 93,585.

TABLE 8
Food Stamp Program
REQUESTS ACCEPTED FOR INVESTIGATION BY PRIMARY ELEMENT OF FRAUD
July – September 2003

	1		July – Septembe	. 2000		Ī I	
County	Early Fraud Prevention/ Detection	Income and Eligibility Verification System	Family (Household) Composition	Other Unreported Income	Residence	Unreported Resources/ Assets	Other ^{a/}
Statewide	34,722	9,484	3,798	1,819	1,014	516	6,133
Alameda	704	291	91	29	6	3	447
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	10	10	4	3	3	53
Butte	16	20	175	34	29	10	109
Calaveras	344	6	21	5	14	12	25
Colusa	0	3	6	4	0	1	6
Contra Costa	269	183	10	15	0	0	64
Del Norte	2	0	10	2	4	1	9
El Dorado	20	10	22	15	7	1	20
Fresno	243	470	219	157	15	3	12
Glenn	148	3	47	38	59	18	54
Humboldt	35	2	32	9	4	2	34
Imperial	97	0	40	15	28	2	4
Inyo	1	6	7	10	0	0	1 244
Kern Kings	953 763	49 11	265	151	20 15	12	1,244
		20	46	31	15	0	60 29
Lake	3 190	20	67 12	20 0	8	0 2	29
Lassen	6,155	5,497	137	156	0	36	243
Los Angeles Madera	581	5,497 17	21	20	3	1	9
Marin	9	8	5	11	2	1	36
Mariposa	7	1	3	0	2	2	2
Mendocino	8	24	23	7	1	7	296
Merced	340	133	115	64	12	2	65
Modoc	109	2	8	2	0	5	6
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	180	5	23	7	1	6	94
Napa	0	0	28	7	1	2	12
Nevada	13	0	11	4	5	2	5
Orange	2,665	176	35	40	0	1	16
Placer	6	0	32	11	8	2	14
Plumas	14	3	8	4	1	0	1
Riverside	9,750	233	227	77	15	14	261
Sacramento	822	291	90	47	37	4	246
San Benito	0	4	0	6	0	1	4
San Bernardino	1,418	62	963	199	398	155	1,191
San Diego	3,485	349	70	66	41	7	19
San Francisco	92	352	1	0	0	0	758
San Joaquin	121	35	13	6	1	6	4
San Luis Obispo	47	8	49	13	16		33
San Mateo	50	3	31	68	6	0	66 57
Santa Barbara	105	22	54	20	13	4	57
Santa Clara	654	46	77	39	0	2	3
Santa Cruz	564	552	8	10	4	10	22
Shasta	225	11	99	25	13	8	35
Sierra	0	0	4	2	3	0	0
Siskiyou	333	0	68	32	69		36
Solano	794	229	68	47	14	0	1
Sonoma	42	86	19	10	1	3	18
Stanislaus	140	169	171	32	41	7	90
Sutter	300	3	35	35	5	1	31
Tehama	17	0	28	14	5	1	30
Trinity Tulare	29	5 39	5	5	3	5	4 87
	0		70	96	11	6	
Tuolumne	283	5	30	22	1	0	6
Ventura	492 151	0 19	2 34	24 29	0 23	0	24
Yolo	101	19	34	29	23		49 88
Yuba	933	11	59	23	34	2	88

a/ "Other" includes replaced lost Food Stamps, duplicate (multiple) aid, forgery, fictitious identity, Food Stamp trafficking, internal affairs, child care, drug felon, fleeing felon, probation/parole violators, and other miscellaneous elements of fraud.

TABLE 9 Food Stamp Program INVESTIGATION RESULTS^{a/} July – September 2003

County (Denials/Benefits Administrative Restitution Reduced or No Adverse Expir		Fault Frank	Refe	erred for		D 64-	Face of Face of	
Alameda 421 889 11 357 64 66 Alphone 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Amador 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-	Reduced/ Discontinuances)		Disqualification Hearing	Action	Discontinued (Non-Early Fraud)	Financial Impact	Expiration of Statutes
Alpine 0 0 0 0 0 8 0 0 Butte 6 4 24 0 0 20 53 5 5 0 19 6 6 Colusar 3 1 0 0 0 19 6 0 0 19 6 6 0 Colusar 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 9 4 0 19 6 6 0 19 6 10 10 10 0 0 0 0 19 6 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10								551
Amador 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 0 0 Calaveras 64 0 0 0 0 0 19 6 6 Calaveras 84 0 0 0 0 0 19 6 6 0 0 Contra Costa 97 4 5 107 0 9 94 15 107 0 9 94 15 107 0 19 94 15 107 0 19 94 15 107 0 19 94 15 107 0 19 94 15 107 0 19 94 15 107 0 19 94 15 107 0 19 94 15 107 10 19 94 15 107 10 19 94 15 107 10 19 94 15 107 10 19 94 15 107 10 19 94 15 107 10 19 94 15 107 10 19 94 15 107 10 19 94 15 107 10 19 94 15 107 10 19 94 15 107 10 19 94 15 107 10 19 94 15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10								
Butte 84 24 0 20 53 5 6 Calaveras 84 0 0 0 0 19 6 6 Colusa 3 1 0 0 0 19 6 6 Colusa 3 1 0 0 0 0 19 6 0 0 0 0 0 94 Del Norte 1 1 1 0 0 5 13 1 1 0 0 0 7 4 26 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					·	·	· ·	0
Calaveras 84 0 0 0 19 6 Colusa 3 1 0 0 6 0 Cortar Costa 97 4 5 107 0 94 Del Norte 1 1 1 0 5 3 1 El Dorado 1 1 1 0 7 4 26 Fresno 70 61 128 109 74 27 Glenn 25 0 0 144 40 3 Imperial 30 6 0 3 9 14 Inyo 1 1 1 0 0 0 2 Kern 650 54 0 133 187 76 Kings 171 8 0 0 0 0 2 Lake 8 12 0 25 23 15 Lassen								
Colusa 3 1 0 0 6 0 Contrat Costa 97 4 5 107 0 94 Del Norte 1 1 1 0 5 3 1 El Dorado 1 1 1 0 5 3 1 Fresno 70 61 128 109 74 27 Glenn 25 0 0 14 40 3 Humbolt 25 8 0 193 30 7 Impetial 30 0 0 0 3 9 14 Inyo 6 1 1 0 0 0 2 2 Kerm 650 54 0 133 187 76 4 18 0 8 12 4 4 Lake 8 12 4 4 4 4 18 12 4 4								
Contra Costa								
Del Norte		97	•					
El Dorado		1				•		Ö
Fresno 70 61 128 109 74 27 Glenn 255 0 0 0 144 40 3 3 Humboldt 25 8 8 0 19 19 30 7 Imperial 30 6 0 3 9 14 Imperial 30 6 0 3 9 14 Imperial 30 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 Embody 30 100 2 2 Imperial 30 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		1	1		7			
Humboldt		70	61		109	74	27	31
Imperial 30	Glenn	25	0	0				0
Inyo	Humboldt			0	19	30		0
Kem		30						0
Kings		1						0
Lake 8 12 0 25 23 15 Lassen 61 0 0 0 3 0 Lassen 61 0 0 0 3 0 Mader 12 15 0 15 32 14 Marin 6 0 0 26 17 13 Marin 6 0 0 26 17 13 Marin 6 0 0 0 11 1 1 Merocd 36 80 0 0 1								
Lassen 61 0 0 0 3 0 Los Angeles 2,026 235 0 1,096 92 1,015 Madera 12 15 0 15 32 14 Marin 6 0 0 26 17 13 Marin 6 0 0 0 1 1 Merced 36 80 0 83 175 22 Modoc 18 0 0 0 4 5 Mono 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Monterey 121 7 0 1 8 47 Napa 3 0								
Los Angeles 2,026 235 0 1,096 92 1,015 Madera 12 15 0 15 32 14 Marin 6 0 0 26 17 13 Marinosa 3 0 0 0 1 1 1 Mendocino 7 0 0 54 6 32 Merced 36 80 0 83 175 22 Modoc 18 0 0 0 0 4 5 Mono 0		_						
Madera 12 15 0 15 32 14 Marin 6 0 0 26 17 13 Mariposa 3 0 0 0 1 1 Merced 36 80 0 83 175 22 Modoc 18 0 0 0 4 5 Mono 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Mono 0								
Marin 6 0 0 26 17 13 Mariposa 3 0 0 0 1 1 Merced 36 80 0 54 6 32 Merced 36 80 0 83 175 22 Modoc 18 0 0 0 4 5 Mono 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Monterey 121 7 0 1 8 47 Napa 3 0 0 0 8 6 Nevada 13 0 0 0 6 1 Orange 1,088 43 16 214 566 77 Placer 4 6 0 3 8 0 Plumas 7 0 0 2 7 7 7 Riverside 1,624 32 0 <td></td> <td></td> <td>235 15</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>32</td> <td></td> <td>0</td>			235 15			32		0
Mariposa 3 0 0 0 1 1 1 Mendocino 7 0 0 54 6 32 Modoc 18 0 0 0 4 5 Mono 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Mono 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Mono 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <								
Mendocino 7 0 0 54 6 32 Merced 36 80 0 83 175 22 Modoc 18 0 0 0 4 5 Mono 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Money 121 7 0 1 8 47 Napa 3 0 0 0 8 6 Nevada 13 0 0 0 6 1 7 0 1 8 47 Nevada 13 0 0 0 6 1 7 0 0 6 1 7 0 0 6 1 7 0 0 2 7 7 7 7 7 1 8 0 2 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 1 8 0 2 2							1 1	0
Merced 36		_		-		·	32	11
Modoc 18 0 0 0 0 0 0 Mono 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Mono 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Napa 3 0 0 0 0 6 1 Nevada 13 0 0 0 0 6 1 Nevada 13 0 0 0 0 6 1 Orange 1,088 43 16 214 566 77 Placer 4 6 0 3 8 0 Plumas 7 0 0 2 7 7 7 Riverside 1,624 32 0 194 0 3 Saramento 38 10 0 598 29 2 2 San Benito 2 0 0 3 15 0 0								0
Monterey 121 7 0 1 8 47 Napa 3 0 0 0 8 6 Nevada 13 0 0 0 6 1 Orange 1,088 43 16 214 566 77 Placer 4 6 0 3 8 0 Plumas 7 0 0 2 7 7 Riverside 1,624 32 0 194 0 3 Sacramento 388 10 0 598 29 2 San Benito 2 0 0 3 15 0 San Isanito 2 0 2 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>								
Napa	Mono		0	0	0	0		
Nevada 13 0 0 0 6 1 Orange 1,088 43 16 214 566 77 Placer 4 6 0 3 8 0 Plumas 7 0 0 2 7 7 7 Riverside 1,624 32 0 194 0 3 3 Sacramento 388 10 0 598 29 2 2 San Benaito 2 0 0 3 15 0 3 15 0 3 15 0 3 15 0 0 3 15 0 0 3 15 0 0 3 15 0 0 3 15 0 2 29 2 2 2 0 0 3 15 0 3 15 0 3 15 0 3 15 0 3	Monterey	121	7	0	1	8	47	165
Orange 1,088 43 16 214 566 77 Placer 4 6 0 3 8 0 Plumas 7 0 0 2 7 7 Riverside 1,624 32 0 194 0 3 Sacramento 388 10 0 598 29 2 San Benito 2 0 0 3 15 0 San Benito 419 53 0 210 288 252 San Diego 875 57 47 431 15 87 San Liston 1 8								
Placer 4 6 0 3 8 0 Plumas 7 0 0 2 7 7 Riverside 1,624 32 0 194 0 3 Sacramento 388 10 0 598 29 2 San Benito 2 0 0 3 15 0 San Benito 2 0 0 210 288 252 San Benito 2 0 24 15 87 San Benito 2 0 24 13 15 87 San La Clara 280 87 30 0 1 2 21 21 21 3 3 19 </td <td></td> <td>13</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td>		13						0
Plumas 7 0 0 2 7 7 Riverside 1,624 32 0 194 0 3 Sacramento 388 10 0 598 29 2 San Benito 2 0 0 3 15 0 San Bernardino 419 53 0 210 288 252 San Diego 875 57 47 431 15 87 San Francisco 1 8 0 225 270 0 0 San Joaquin 54 30 0 1 2 21 San Luis Obispo 24 4 0 7 59 5 San Mateo 34 2 0 24 19 8 8 19 Santa Cara 38 19 9 0 43 38 19 Santa Cara 298 31 0 21 0 35 Santa Cruz 96 5<		,						3
Riverside 1,624 32 0 194 0 3 Sacramento 388 10 0 598 29 2 San Benito 2 0 0 3 15 0 San Benito 419 53 0 210 288 252 San Diego 875 57 47 431 15 87 San Diego 875 57 47 431 15 87 San Francisco 1 8 0 225 270 0 San Joaquin 54 30 0 1 2 21 San Luis Obispo 24 4 0 7 59 5 San Mateo 34 2 0 24 19 8 Santa Barbara 39 9 0 43 38 19 Santa Clara 298 31 0 21 0 35 Santa Cruz		4						0
Sacramento 388 10 0 598 29 2 San Benito 2 0 0 3 15 0 San Bernardino 419 53 0 210 288 252 San Diego 875 57 47 431 15 87 San Francisco 1 8 0 225 270 0 San Joaquin 54 30 0 1 2 21 San Luis Obispo 24 4 0 7 59 5 San Mateo 34 2 0 24 19 8 Santa Barbara 39 9 0 43 38 19 Santa Clara 298 31 0 21 0 35 Santa Cruz 96 5 0 36 18 1 Shasta 97 23 0 7 32 166 Sierra 0 </td <td></td> <td>1 624</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td>·</td> <td></td> <td></td>		1 624			_	·		
San Benito 2 0 0 3 15 0 San Bernardino 419 53 0 210 288 252 San Diego 875 57 47 431 15 87 San Francisco 1 8 0 225 270 0 San Joaquin 54 30 0 1 2 21 San Luis Obispo 24 4 0 7 59 5 San Mateo 34 2 0 24 19 8 Santa Barbara 39 9 0 43 38 19 Santa Clara 298 31 0 21 0 35 Santa Cruz 96 5 0 36 18 1 Shasta 97 23 0 7 32 166 Sierra 0 0 0 2 5 0 Siskiyou 49								
San Bernardino 419 53 0 210 288 252 San Diego 875 57 47 431 15 87 San Francisco 1 8 0 225 270 0 San Joaquin 54 30 0 1 2 21 San Luis Obispo 24 4 0 7 59 5 San Mateo 34 2 0 24 19 8 Santa Barbara 39 9 0 43 38 19 Santa Cruz 96 5 0 36 18 1 Shasta 97 23 0 7 32 166 Sierra 0 0 0 2 5 0 Siskiyou 49 0 0 23 72 160 Solano 265 19 0 122 3 42 Solano 30								
San Diego 875 57 47 431 15 87 San Francisco 1 8 0 225 270 0 San Joaquin 54 30 0 1 2 21 San Luis Obispo 24 4 0 7 59 5 San Mateo 34 2 0 24 19 8 Santa Barbara 39 9 0 43 38 19 Santa Clara 298 31 0 21 0 35 Santa Cruz 96 5 0 36 18 1 Shasta 97 23 0 7 32 166 Sierra 0 0 0 2 5 0 Siskiyou 49 0 0 23 72 160 Solano 265 19 0 122 3 42 Sonoma 30 3<								Ö
San Francisco 1 8 0 225 270 0 San Joaquin 54 30 0 1 2 21 San Luis Obispo 24 4 0 7 59 5 San Mateo 34 2 0 24 19 8 Santa Barbara 39 9 0 43 38 19 Santa Clara 298 31 0 21 0 35 Santa Cruz 96 5 0 36 18 1 Shasta 97 23 0 7 32 166 Sierra 0 0 0 2 5 0 Siskiyou 49 0 0 23 72 160 Solano 265 19 0 122 3 42 Sonoma 30 3 1 108 47 95 Stanislaus 72 15 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Ö</td>								Ö
San Luis Obispo 24 4 0 7 59 5 San Mateo 34 2 0 24 19 8 Santa Barbara 39 9 0 43 38 19 Santa Clara 298 31 0 21 0 35 Santa Cruz 96 5 0 36 18 1 Shasta 97 23 0 7 32 166 Sierra 0 0 0 2 5 0 Siskiyou 49 0 0 23 72 160 Solano 265 19 0 122 3 42 Sonoma 30 3 1 108 47 95 Stanislaus 72 15 12 260 183 39 Sutter 118 11 0 47 14 34 Tehama 5 3		1	8	0	225	270	0	1
San Mateo 34 2 0 24 19 8 Santa Barbara 39 9 0 43 38 19 Santa Clara 298 31 0 21 0 35 Santa Cruz 96 5 0 36 18 1 Shasta 97 23 0 7 32 166 Sierra 0 0 0 2 5 0 Siskiyou 49 0 0 23 72 160 Solano 265 19 0 122 3 42 Sonoma 30 3 1 108 47 95 Stanislaus 72 15 12 260 183 39 Sutter 118 11 0 47 14 34 Tehama 5 3 2 0 3 1 Tulare 0 17 0	San Joaquin	54	30	0		2		
Santa Barbara 39 9 0 43 38 19 Santa Clara 298 31 0 21 0 35 Santa Cruz 96 5 0 36 18 1 Shasta 97 23 0 7 32 166 Sierra 0 0 0 2 5 0 Siskiyou 49 0 0 23 72 160 Solano 265 19 0 122 3 42 Sonoma 30 3 1 108 47 95 Stanislaus 72 15 12 260 183 39 Sutter 118 11 0 47 14 34 Tehama 5 3 2 0 3 1 Trinity 4 0 0 0 0 1 Tulare 0 17 0 3 0 23 Tuolumne 107 1 0 7 8 32		24	4			59	5	5
Santa Clara 298 31 0 21 0 35 Santa Cruz 96 5 0 36 18 1 Shasta 97 23 0 7 32 166 Sierra 0 0 0 2 5 0 Siskiyou 49 0 0 23 72 160 Solano 265 19 0 122 3 42 Sonoma 30 3 1 108 47 95 Stanislaus 72 15 12 260 183 39 Sutter 118 11 0 47 14 34 Tehama 5 3 2 0 3 1 Trinity 4 0 0 0 0 1 Tulare 0 17 0 3 0 23 Tuolumne 107 1 0 7 8 32		34	2					
Santa Cruz 96 5 0 36 18 1 Shasta 97 23 0 7 32 166 Sierra 0 0 0 2 5 0 Siskiyou 49 0 0 23 72 160 Solano 265 19 0 122 3 42 Sonoma 30 3 1 108 47 95 Stanislaus 72 15 12 260 183 39 Sutter 118 11 0 47 14 34 Tehama 5 3 2 0 3 1 Trinity 4 0 0 0 0 1 Tulare 0 17 0 3 0 23 Tuolumne 107 1 0 7 8 32								0
Shasta 97 23 0 7 32 166 Sierra 0 0 0 2 5 0 Siskiyou 49 0 0 23 72 160 Solano 265 19 0 122 3 42 Sonoma 30 3 1 108 47 95 Stanislaus 72 15 12 260 183 39 Sutter 118 11 0 47 14 34 Tehama 5 3 2 0 3 1 Trinity 4 0 0 0 0 1 Tulare 0 17 0 3 0 23 Tuolumne 107 1 0 7 8 32							35	
Sierra 0 0 0 2 5 0 Siskiyou 49 0 0 23 72 160 Solano 265 19 0 122 3 42 Sonoma 30 3 1 108 47 95 Stanislaus 72 15 12 260 183 39 Sutter 118 11 0 47 14 34 Tehama 5 3 2 0 3 1 Trinity 4 0 0 0 0 1 Tulare 0 17 0 3 0 23 Tuolumne 107 1 0 7 8 32		96	5	0		18	166	0
Siskiyou 49 0 0 23 72 160 Solano 265 19 0 122 3 42 Sonoma 30 3 1 108 47 95 Stanislaus 72 15 12 260 183 39 Sutter 118 11 0 47 14 34 Tehama 5 3 2 0 3 1 Trinity 4 0 0 0 0 1 Tulare 0 17 0 3 0 23 Tuolumne 107 1 0 7 8 32								
Solano 265 19 0 122 3 42 Sonoma 30 3 1 108 47 95 Stanislaus 72 15 12 260 183 39 Sutter 118 11 0 47 14 34 Tehama 5 3 2 0 3 1 Trinity 4 0 0 0 0 1 Tulare 0 17 0 3 0 23 Tuolumne 107 1 0 7 8 32							160	0
Sonoma 30 3 1 108 47 95 Stanislaus 72 15 12 260 183 39 Sutter 118 11 0 47 14 34 Tehama 5 3 2 0 3 1 Trinity 4 0 0 0 0 1 Tulare 0 17 0 3 0 23 Tuolumne 107 1 0 7 8 32								
Stanislaus 72 15 12 260 183 39 Sutter 118 11 0 47 14 34 Tehama 5 3 2 0 3 1 Trinity 4 0 0 0 0 1 Tulare 0 17 0 3 0 23 Tuolumne 107 1 0 7 8 32						47	95	4
Sutter 118 11 0 47 14 34 Tehama 5 3 2 0 3 1 Trinity 4 0 0 0 0 1 Tulare 0 17 0 3 0 23 Tuolumne 107 1 0 7 8 32		72	15	12	260	183	39	1
Tehama 5 3 2 0 3 1 Trinity 4 0 0 0 0 1 Tulare 0 17 0 3 0 23 Tuolumne 107 1 0 7 8 32				0				
Trinity 4 0 0 0 0 1 Tulare 0 17 0 3 0 23 Tuolumne 107 1 0 7 8 32	Tehama	5	3	2		3	1	0 0
Tuolumne 107 1 0 7 8 32				0				0
Tuolumne 107 1 0 7 8 32 Ventura 200 7 0 0 0 0 0		0					23	39
Ventura		107						0
		200						
Yolo 32 30 0 26 17 31 Yuba 440 14 0 21 40 16								0

a/ This table reflects the outcome of an investigation. In some instances an investigation may have more than one outcome reported. Therefore, the data displayed on this table may not correspond to the numbers on Table 7.

TABLE 10 Food Stamp Program DISTRICT ATTORNEY PROSECUTION ACTIVITY July – September 2003

County	Referrals Received	Referrals Available a/	Prosecutions Filed	Decision Made Not to Prosecute
Statewide	1,016	5,206	872	173
Alameda	89	528	27	25
Alpine	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	5	0	0
Butte	13	22	12	0
Calaveras	0	0	0	0
Colusa	1	1	1	0
Contra Costa	5	65	3	0
Del Norte	1	7	0	0
El Dorado	1	1	0	0
Fresno	61	100	62	0
Glenn	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	9	14	10	0
Imperial	3	40	2	0
Inyo	1	1	0	0
Kern	54	148	66	3
Kings	8	9	4	2
Lake	12	35	9	2
Lassen	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	235	900	177	52
Madera	15	15	15	0
Marin	0	2	0	0
Mariposa	0	0	0	0
Mendocino	0	23	0	0
Merced	80	893	52	0
Modoc	0	033	0	0
Mono	0	0	0	0
Monterey	7	52	3	2
Napa	0	31	0	0
Nevada	0	0	0	0
Orange	44	86	41	2
Placer	6	75	9	2
Plumas	0	6	0	0
Riverside	33	49	38	1
Sacramento	10	326	10	5
San Benito	0	320	0	0
San Bernardino	53	535	23	0
San Diego	57	353	63	0
San Francisco	8	145	5	1
San Joaquin	30	140	32	0
San Luis Obispo	0	0	0	0
San Mateo	1	58	48	0
Santa Barbara	9	9	8	1
Santa Clara		62	0	1
Santa Cruz	31 5	63 5	24 5	1
	23	29	<u> </u>	U
Shasta				
Sierra	0	1	1	0
Siskiyou	0	4	0	0
Solano	19	231	34	
Sonoma	3	10	0	
Stanislaus	15	15	15	0 8 0 0
Sutter	11	11	3	8
Tehama	0	0	0	0
Trinity	1	2	2	0
Tulare	17	17	17	0
Tuolumne	1	19	0	0 0 3 0 0
Ventura	9	40	3	3
Yolo	30	30	30	0
Yuba	5	54	7	0

a/ Data is from DPA 266 July 2003 Item 23 Referrals pending beginning of month plus July, August and September 2003's Item 24 Referrals received during the month.

TABLE 11 Food Stamp Program PROSECUTION OUTCOMES^{a/} July – September 2003

County	Convictions	Administrative Action	Disqualification Consent Agreements	Charge Pleaded			Declinations	Expiration of Statutes
Statewide	998	8	38	144	488	11	102	0
Alameda	162	0	0	0	332	0		0
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Amador	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Butte	3	0	5	0	3	0		
Calaveras	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Colusa	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Contra Costa	0	0	1	4	0	0		
Del Norte	0	0	0	0	0	0		
El Dorado	1	0	1	0	0	0		
Fresno	63	2	0	0	2	0		
Glenn Humboldt	3	0	0 2	0	0	0		
				0	0	0		
Imperial	0 2	0	0	0	•			
Inyo Kern	92	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0		0
Kings	14	0	2	0	0	0		
Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Lassen	0	0	0	1	0	0		
Los Angeles	157	0	0	0	23	0		
Madera	21	5	5	0	0	0		
Marin	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Mariposa	Ŏ	0	0	0	0	0		
Mendocino	14	0	0	14	13	0		
Merced	35	0	0	0	5	Ö		
Modoc	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Monterey	0	0	0	2	13	0		
Napa	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Nevada	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Orange	36	0	0	0	11	11	0	
Placer	1	0	3	0	0	0		
Plumas	1	0	0	0	0	0		
Riverside	66	0	0	93	0	0		
Sacramento	52	0	0	0	0	0		
San Benito	0	0	0	0	0	0		
San Bernardino	47	0	0	0	6	0		
San Diego	53	0	0	0	5	0		0
San Francisco	3	0	0	0	8	0		
San Joaquin	27	0	0	0	11	0		
San Luis Obispo San Mateo	0	0	0	0	0 42	0		
	•		1	,				•
Santa Barbara Santa Clara	8	0	0		0	0		
Santa Clara Santa Cruz	1	0	0					
Shasta	18	0	1	0	3	0	0	0
Sierra	0	0	0		0			0
Siskiyou	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Solano	24	0	0		1	0		0
Sonoma	5	0	0		0		0	
Stanislaus	16	0	0		0	0	0	0
Sutter	2	0	8		0			0
Tehama	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Trinity	0	0	0		0	0		0
Tulare	36	0	0		1	Ö		
Tuolumne	2	0	0			0	0	Ö
Ventura	5	0	0				Ö	0
Yolo	18	0	0		0	0	0	
Yuba	1	0	9				0	l o

a/ This table reflects the outcome of a prosecution. In some instances a prosecution may have more than one outcome reported.

TABLE 12 Food Stamp Program FRAUD OVERISSUANCES AND COLLECTIONS July – September 2003

County	Fraud Overissuances Identified	Total Collections
Statewide	\$4,777,095	\$2,052,805
Alameda	807,518	223,625
Alpine	0	0
Amador	1,183	312
Butte	15,506	8,304
Calaveras	0	294
Colusa	1,183	385
Colusa Contra Costa		
	63,598	13,611
Del Norte	5,660	3,283
El Dorado	2,182	14,162
Fresno	200,419	112,158
Glenn	5,503	9,373
Humboldt	6,819	7,504
Imperial	1,770	0
Inyo	386	774
Kern	125,292	85,148
Kings	32,810	42,311
Lake	24,064	10,372
Lassen	0	219
Los Angeles	627,953	18,551
Madera	21,371	20,661
Marin	16,147	24,755
Mariposa	218	92
Mendocino	36,674	18,369
Merced	83,391	6,804
Modoc	256	0
Mono	0	0
Monterey	14,948	41,329
Napa	144	2,205
Nevada	130	472
Orange	174,239	66,816
Placer	7,109	11,109
Plumas	132	2,838
Riverside	109,178	2,636 73,255
Sacramento	1,096,196	199,361
San Benito	3,098	11,929
San Bernardino	166,970	145,105
San Diego	272,097	68,834
San Francisco	96,535	124,627
San Joaquin	51,879	29,907
San Luis Obispo	6,685	7,803
San Mateo	8,261	3,218
Santa Barbara	13,201	13,269
Santa Clara	120,951	131,261
Santa Cruz	27,117	7,802
Shasta	26,025	14,374
Sierra	72	629
Siskiyou	86,000	128,000
Solano	81,324	70,625
Sonoma	27,955	39,979
Stanislaus	162,866	96,640
Sutter	22,971	4,993
Tehama	0	1,198
Trinity	0	112
Tulare	70,766	0
Tuolumne	6,094	3,442
Ventura	0,094	83,677
Yolo	30,191	31,115
Yuba	14,058	15,814



STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor

HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY

Kimberly Belshé, Secretary

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES